## RGRTA



# CONNECTING COMMUNITIES 

EMBRACING INNOVATION, EQUITY, AND SUSTAINABILITY


Regional Transit Service (RTS) is a regional transit authority established by New York State with more than 1,000 employees who proudly serve customers and business partners in Monroe, Genesee, Livingston, Ontario, Orleans, Seneca, Wayne, and Wyoming counties. Recognized as one of the best-run transit systems in the nation, RTS partners with the community it serves to provide vital, safe, and sustainable transportation services to more than 8 million people each year. We carry out our mission by connecting our customers to jobs, school, healthcare, shopping, and recreational activities every day. For more information, visit myRTS.com.
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## INTRODUCTION



## DISTINGUISHED BUDGET PRESENTATION AWARD*

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to the Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority, for its annual budget for the Fiscal Year beginning April 1, 2022. To receive this Award, a governmental unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, as an operations guide, as a financial plan, and as a communications device.
This Award is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current budget document continues to conform to program requirements, and we are submitting it to GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.
*RGRTA has received the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for 15 consecutive years.

## OUR INTENT, MISSION, \& VALUES

## OUR INTENT STATEMENT

We are an innovative transportation provider and a climate leader in our industry.

## OUR MISSION STATEMENT

We provide vital, safe, and sustainable transportation services that connect the community and promote a better quality of life.

## OUR VALUES

- Integrity: We are responsible stewards of taxpayer revenues. We are transparent and do what we say we are going to do while taking responsibility for our actions.
- Respect: We value and appreciate the diversity and opinions of those we work with and those we serve.
- Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion: We embrace our wide variety of cultures, values, skills, thoughts, and experiences to promote racial equity and fair access to opportunities through public transit.
- Engagement and Collaboration: We actively engage and openly communicate with employees, customers, and the community.
- Agility and Innovation: We embrace innovation in all aspects of our work and strive to bring it to life by being adaptive and flexible.
- Performance Focus: We establish the outcomes that define our success and use a combination of data-based decision making and fresh thinking to achieve them.


## GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

## Distinguished

 Budget Presentation Award PRESENTED TO
## Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Auth.

 New YorkFor the Fiscal Year Beginning
April 01, 2022

## Chistoph P. Mowill <br> Executive Director

## THE RTS WAY: BRINGING OUR VALUES TO LIFE EVERY DAY



We launched the RTS Way in 2019 to improve employee engagement and recognition, and help build a culture of well-being. The RTS Way is based on 17 specific behaviors that are connected to each of our six values. These values define who we are and what we do. They support our mission and help shape our work environment. Examples of RTS Way behaviors are shared at the beginning of meetings and employees are recognized for their display of these behaviors every month and during employee recognition events.


## DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION AT RTS

RTS's strategic focus on Diversity and Inclusion dates back to 2017 when it was added as an organizational value. Once the value was in place, we were able to develop and implement the strategy to bring it to life and create a presence of belonging. Because of our strategic approach, employees have embraced diversity and promoted inclusivity in all areas of the organization, through wellness and engagement, formal training, and professional development efforts, and the RTS Culture and Inclusion Council. Through this work, we learned that Equity is a key component of Diversity and Inclusion and have embedded measures within the organization to ensure we are providing advocacy and opportunities for everyone at our organization to flourish.


Urban League of Rochester, N.Y., Inc.

## DEI STRATEGY IN ACTION

The RTS team has done a tremendous job embracing our efforts to be more diverse, equitable, and inclusive. Here is a snapshot of our DEI strategy in action.

- Trainings \& Personal Development: We regularly work with community partners to provide opportunities for training and personal development for employees.
- Cultural Heritages \& Observances: Nearly every month we learn about and celebrate the heritages and observances of different cultures.
- RTS Culture \& Inclusion Council (CIC): The RTS Culture \& Inclusion Council is a group of employees that represent different locations and job functions at RTS. They meet monthly to embrace, learn, and understand DEI and their role as ambassadors of positive cultural change at RTS.
- CIC Book Club: Twice a year, employees are invited to join the CIC in reading and discussing a book about DEI.
- More Inclusive Recruitment Practices: We regularly evaluate and update our recruitment efforts to ensure we are providing employment opportunities to a more diverse candidate pool.
- Investments in DEI Leadership: We hired a Chief DEI Officer to help lead our DEI efforts and continue growing our strategic focus in this area.



## LETTER FROM THE CEO TO COMMISSIONERS



We entered the 2022-23 fiscal year nearing the one-year anniversary of the implementation of Reimagine RTS and with an approach focused on innovation and promoting a better quality of life. We continued engaging with employees, customers, and stakeholders to understand how well the new system is meeting the needs of a community that is recovering from a global pandemic. With greater understanding of our employees, customers, and stakeholders throughout the community, we developed a new Comprehensive Strategic Plan that will help us connect communities by embracing innovation, equity, and sustainability.
The pages that follow reflect what we experienced in years past, our current reality, and our commitment to keep building on our solid foundation. The new plan is based on our existing mission and intent statements and includes an important update to one of our values. Our value of Diversity and Inclusion has been updated to Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) to reflect our continued embrace of DEI as a critical component of our culture: "We embrace our wide variety of cultures, values, skills, thoughts, and experiences to promote racial equity and fair access to opportunities through public transit."
This plan is also a reflection of our continued commitment to the RTS team. We are fortunate to have at RTS one of the hardest working and most dedicated teams in our industry. They have continued performing at a high level through seemingly constant and sometimes unforeseen challenges and change. They understand and embrace the important role we play in the lives of our customers and work tirelessly every day to show the community what it means to live the RTS Way.
With your approval, this plan will continue our efforts to support employees and their overall well-being. It continues our work on critical, innovative projects and studies that will help us build on the improvements we have made for customers across the service area. It includes the continued embrace of innovative technologies and software to improve the experience of our employees and customers. It enhances our focus on financial sustainability through analysis of new zero-emission technologies, cost containment efforts, and important facility needs. And it demonstrates our climate leadership with the purchase of Hydrogen Fuel Cell vehicles and continued investment in our zero-emission infrastructure needs.

Our team is ready to implement this plan and continue growing our system to meet the needs of the people we serve. On behalf of the entire team, I am pleased to present the 2023-2026 RGRTA Comprehensive Strategic Plan, the budget for Fiscal Year 2023-2024, and the capital plan for 2023-2033.
Thank you for your continued leadership and support.

Sincerely,


Miguel Velázquez
Chief Executive Officer

## LETTER FROM THE CHAIRMAN TO THE COMMUNITY



On behalf of the Board of Commissioners, it is my privilege to present you with the RGRTA Comprehensive Strategic Plan for 2023-26 and the budget for Fiscal Year 2023-24.

This plan details the work the Authority will complete over the next three years. It represents an organization that is a source of access and reliability for its customers as we look to the future. The comprehensive plan includes the addition of Equity to our value of Diversity and Inclusion, our strategic priorities, the initiatives that will help us advance those priorities, our definition of success, and the metrics we will use to measure that success.

Thanks to your partnership and support, our customers are experiencing a transit system that is embracing innovation to improve their quality of life. Our work together over the past year is helping us identify the best ways to grow and improve the transit system. Your input into how the new system is working gives us the valuable insight we need to chart a successful path forward. As we begin the new fiscal year, we are excited to continue working with you to bring that path to reality by embracing innovation, equity, and sustainability to better connect the community.
I thank my fellow commissioners for volunteering their time and talents to the Authority, and I thank each member of the RGRTA team for their continued service to our customers.

Sincerely,


Don Jeffries
Chairman

# ORGANIZATIONAL OVERVIEW 



## BUSINESS STRUCTURE

The Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority (RGRTA) is a public benefit corporation and a component unit of the State of New York. Created in 1969 by an act of the State Legislature, the Authority is charged with the continuance, further development, and improvement of public transportation and other related services within the Genesee/Finger Lakes region. Since 1969, membership in the Authority has grown to eight counties with a total land area of 4,350 square miles and a population of approximately 1.2 million. Current member counties include Genesee, Livingston, Monroe, Ontario, Orleans, Seneca, Wayne, and Wyoming.

RGRTA's services encompass urban, suburban, and rural areas, as well as complementary paratransit service in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

The Authority is comprised of 11 separately incorporated business units:

1. Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority (RGRTA)
2. Regional Transit Service, Inc. (RTS)
3. RTS Access (Lift Line, Inc.)
4. RTS Genesee (Batavia Bus Service, Inc.)
5. RTS Livingston (Livingston Area Transportation Service, Inc.)
6. RTS Ontario (County Area Transit Service, Inc.)
7. RTS Orleans (Orleans Transit Service, Inc.)
8. RTS Seneca (Seneca Transit Service, Inc.)
9. RTS Wayne (Wayne Area Transportation Service, Inc.)
10. RTS Wyoming (Wyoming Transit Service, Inc.)
11. Genesee Transportation Council Staff, Inc. (GTCS)

## ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE



| RTS |
| :--- |
| The largest subsidiary of the |
| Authority, providing 31 fixed routes |
| throughout Monroe County and |
| into adjoining counties. |
| Created in 1969 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ Ridership: $7,544,441$ |
| No. of Buses: 223 |
| No. of Employees: 674 |
| Service Area |
| Population: |

## RTS ACCESS

Provides paratransit service within Monroe County in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) to eligible customers.

Created in 1985

| 2022 Ridership: | 160,270 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Buses: | 53 |
| No. of Employees: | 120 |

## Service Area

Population: $\quad 755,160$

## RTS GENESEE

Provides service on four deviated routes and Dial-A-Ride service.

Joined RGRTA in 1971

| 2022 Ridership: | 26,299 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Buses: | 12 |
| No. of Employees: | 16 |
| Service Area  <br> Population: 57,280 |  |

RTS LIVINGSTON
Provides service on four deviated routes and Dial-A-Ride service.

Joined RGRTA in 1986

| 2022 Ridership: | 74,250 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Buses: | 19 |
| No. of Employees: | 26 |
| Service Area <br> Population: | 62,914 |

RTS ONTARIO
Provides service on seven deviated routes and Dial-A-Ride service.

| Joined RGRTA in 2014 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2022 Ridership: | 133,731 |
| No. of Buses: | 21 |
| No. of Employees: | 38 |
| Service Area <br> Population: | 109,777 |

RTS ORLEANS
Provides service on four deviated routes and Dial-A-Ride service.

| Joined RGRTA in 2003 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2022 Ridership: | 23,183 |
| No. of Buses: | 6 |
| No. of Employees: | 13 |
| Service Area <br> Population: | 40,352 |

## RTS SENECA

Provides service on three deviated routes and Dial-A-Ride service.

Joined RGRTA in 2004

| 2022 Ridership: | 37,195 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Buses: | 8 |
| No. of Employees: | 14 |
| Service Area <br> Population: | 34,016 |

RTS WAYNE
Provides service on 10 deviated routes and Dial-A-Ride service.

Joined RGRTA in 1980

| 2022 Ridership: | 88,904 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Buses: | 42 |
| No. of Employees: | 61 |
| Service Area <br> Population: | 89,918 |

## RTS WYOMING

Provides service on seven deviated routes.

Joined RGRTA in 1993

| 2022 Ridership: | 46,604 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Buses: | 18 |
| No. of Employees: | 19 |
| Service Area <br> Population: | 40,305 |

## BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS \& GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

A sixteen-member Board of Commissioners* establishes policy and sets direction for the management of the Authority. The Commissioners are residents of the member counties who have been recommended by their respective local governing bodies, appointed by the Governor of New York State, and confirmed by the New York State Senate. Board membership is apportioned among the member counties based in accordance with the Authority's enabling legislation. Current membership is as follows: City of Rochester: three; Monroe County: four**; and one member each from Genesee, Livingston, Ontario, Orleans, Seneca, Wayne, and Wyoming counties. The Authority has separate legal standing from each of the member counties. Legislation passed and signed into law by the Governor in 2022 makes the member who represents transit dependent and paratransit customers a voting member.

The Board of Commissioners schedules at least one meeting each month that is open to the public. All public meetings of the Board are recorded and streamed live for viewing on the Authority's website: myRTS.com.
*Included on the Board of Commissioners is a representative of the ATU and a representative of transit dependent \& paratransit customers.
**Of the four seats representing Monroe County, one is currently vacant.

## COMMITTEES

Four standing committees assist the RGRTA Board of Commissioners in carrying out its duties.

## GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

- Reviews corporate governance trends and keeps the Board of Commissioners informed of current best governance practices
- Updates the Authority's corporate governance principles and practices when necessary
- Formulates and proposes to the full Board for adoption, policies that promote honest and ethical conduct by Authority Commissioners, officers, and employees


## AUDIT COMMITTEE

- Represents and assists the Board of Commissioners in its general oversight of the Authority's accounting and financial reporting processes, audits of the financial statements, and internal control functions
- Has direct responsibility for engagement, communication, and oversight of the independent audit function


## COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

- Discharges the Board's responsibility related to compensation of the Authority's executive officers and other employees
- Oversees the Authority's succession planning program, and assists in relating Authority performance to executive and employee compensation


## FINANCE/INVESTMENT COMMITTEE

- Represents and assists the Board in its general oversight of the Authority's borrowing and investment activities
- Formulates investment policy of the Authority, monitors the system of internal controls with respect to the investment policy, and reviews any independent audits of the investment program



## WILLIAM ANSBROW

City of Rochester, Appointed in 2017
CFO, Mary Cariola Children's Center, Inc. • CFO (retired), Rochester City School District

- Former Budget Director, City of Rochester • Graduate of Indiana University, Masters of Public Finance - Graduate of Canisius College, B.S.

Community Service:
Board Member, Rochester Children's Scholarship Fund

JAMES BENSLEY
Orleans County, Appointed in 2019
Orleans County Department of Planning and Development Director • Orleans County Recycling Coordinator • Orleans County Salary Review Committee • Lecturer (adjunct), Buffalo State College • Graduate of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University • Masters of Urban and Regional Planning • Graduate of Buffalo State College, B.S.

## Community Service:

Member, American Institute of Certified Planners; Member, Genesee/Finger Lakes Regional Planning Council; Board Member, County Agricultural and Farmland Protection; Leadership Orleans Participant


## HEATHER BIRD

Non-Voting Member, Appointed in 2022
President, National Federation of the Blind of New York State, Inc., Rochester Chapter • President, New York Association of Guide Dog Users • Secretary, New York Parents of Blind Children • Board Member, Alumnus, National Federation of the Blind of New York State, Inc., The Seeing Eye

## Community Service:

BELL Coordinator; National Organization of Blind Veterans; National Organization of Parents of Blind Children; Past Member, Board of the National Association of Guide Dog Users


## SUSANNE CARLOCK

Livingston County, Appointed in 2021
Director, Livingston County Office for the Aging • Former CEO, The Community Place of Greater Rochester, Inc. • Graduate of SUNY Geneseo and Keuka College

## Community Service:

Board Member, Association on Aging in New York; Board Member, Livingston County Emergency Food and Shelter Program; Former Board Member, Genesee Valley Health Partnership; Livingston County Worker United Way Campaign Committee Member


## JACQUES CHAPMAN

ATU Local 282, Appointed in 2018
International Vice President, Amalgamated Transit Union • Former President, ATU Local 282 • Former RTS Bus Operator • Past Executive Board Member, ATU Local 282

Community Service:
Past Recording Secretary, ATU New York State Legislative Conference Board; Our Streets Transit Coalition; Executive Board, WIT Federal Credit Union


## MICHAEL P. JANKOWSKI

Wayne County, Appointed in 2004
Wayne County Clerk • Graduate of St. John Fisher College and the National Academy for Paralegal Studies, Inc.

## Community Service:

Member, New York State County Clerks Association; 2008 Chairman, Wayne County United Way Campaign; Board of Directors, Newark-Wayne Community Hospital; Board of Directors, Wayne County Action Program


## DONALD E. JEFFRIES, BOARD CHAIRMAN

## Monroe County, Appointed in 2016

President and CEO of Visit Rochester • Graduate of St. John Fisher College

## Community Service:

Trustee, St. John Fisher College; Director, Monroe Community College Foundation; Director, Rochester Area Crime Stoppers; Director, Seneca Waterways Council Boy Scouts of America; Commissioner, Brighton Fire District; Member, Brighton Fire Department; Director, Rochester Riverside Convention Center; Director, New York State Hospitality and Tourism Board; President, New York State Destination Management Association; President, ROC2025 Leadership Group; Rochester Rotary; Director, 911 Operating Practices Board


JERDINE JOHNSON, BOARD SECRETARY
City of Rochester, Appointed in 2017
Manager of Energy Conservation and Deputy Commissioner • Department of Environmental Services at the City of Rochester (retired) • Former Executive Director, Eastside Community Center • Former Executive Director, North East Block Club • Graduate of the Pratt Institute, Masters of Urban and Regional Planning • Graduate of Florida A\&M University, B.S.

## Community Service:

Past President, National Associations of Negro Business and Professional Women; Sergeant at Arms, Rochester Downtown Rotary; Treasurer, Statewide Neighborhood Preservation Coalition; Past Member, Goodwill of the Finger Lakes Board of Directors


## RICHARD D. KOSMERL, BOARD TREASURER

Wyoming County, Appointed in 2016
North American Director of Quality, Plant Manager, Division President, General Manager, and Executive Vice President, Prestolite Electric Inc. (retired)

Community Service:
President, Wyoming County Community Health System Board of Managers; Past Board of Directors and Board VP, Community Action for Wyoming County; Member, Village and Town of Arcade Planning Board; Past Trustee and Mayor, Village of Arcade; Former member of curriculum advisory boards for Business Management and Microelectronic Engineering at Genesee Community College and Rochester Institute of Technology, respectively


## WILLIAM P. MCDONALD

City of Rochester, Appointed in 2017
Mobility Management Consultant with a focus on transportation services for older adults and persons with disabilities (current) - United Way of Greater Rochester and the Finger Lakes: Strategic Initiatives Coordinator/Program Officer (former) • Executive Director, Medical Motor Service of Rochester and Monroe County, Inc., Retired • Monroe County Office for the Aging program administrator • Graduate of SUNY Brockport, Masters of Public Administration • Graduate of University of Detroit, B.A.

## Community Service:

Board Member and Past President, Community Transportation Association of America; Board Member and Chair, Coordinated Transportation Solutions; Board Member, University of Rochester Medicine Home Care


## TERRENCE RICE, PE

## Monroe County, Appointed in 2021

Sr. Managing Engineer for Barton \& Loguidice • Monroe County Director of Transportation (retired) • Graduate of Clarkson University

## Community Service:

Fellow - Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE); Member, American Public Works Association; Member and Committee Chair, New York State Highway Superintendents Association; Member, Legends Golf \& Country Club Golf Committee; Member, St. John of Rochester Buildings \& Grounds Committee; Past President, Treasurer, and Legislative Committee Chair, New York State County Highway Superintendents Association; Past Chair, District 1 ITE; Past Chair, St. John of Rochester Parish Council


## JUDITH AHLFELD SEIL

Monroe County, Appointed in 2021
First Vice President, Five Star Bank, Credit Manager • Executive Director, Monroe County Planning and Development (retired) • Graduate of Leadership Rochester • Graduate of Nazareth College

## Community Service:

Dress for Success; Former Board Member of YWCA, Ronald McDonald House, Visit Rochester, and Greater Rochester Enterprise

## EDWARD W. WHITE

Seneca County, Appointed in 2006
Court Attorney, New York State Supreme Court Seventh Judicial District •
Graduate of the University of Toledo

## Community Service:

Former Junius Town Justice; Former Member, Court Facilities Capital Review Board


## BRIAN H. YOUNG, BOARD VICE-CHAIR

Ontario County, Appointed in 2021
B. Young Enterprises, Owner • Deputy County Administrator (retired), Ontario County • Former Director of Workforce Development, Ontario County • Graduate of St. John Fisher College

## Community Service:

Board Member, Ontario County Traffic Safety; Former Member, Sonnenberg Gardens and Mansion Board of Trustees; Former Member and Chairman, Board of Directors, Finger Lakes Addictions Counseling \& Referral Agency (FLACRA); Former Co-Chair, Finger Lakes Community College Campus Community Coalition Business

## 2022: THE YEAR IN REVIEW



# RGRTA 2022: THE YEAR IN REVIEW 

## TRANSITION TO A NEW CEO

After 11 years as Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of RTS, Bill Carpenter announced in November 2022 his intent to retire by the end of June 2023. Following an internal search, the RGRTA Board of Commissioners appointed then Deputy CEO Miguel Velázquez as the next CEO of RTS effective January 1, 2023. Velázquez joined RTS in 1999 as a member of the Information Technology team. Since then, he served as Chief Information Officer, Chief Operating Officer, and Deputy CEO, prior to being appointed CEO.

## IMPROVED RTS ON DEMAND PLATFORM

## RITS ONDEMAND

To improve the employee and customer experience with RTS On Demand, we implemented a new software platform powered by Via. The new platform helped RTS
 improve trip times, vehicle capacity usage, and the overall user experience. The switch to Via provided a new RTS On Demand mobile app, and a website that is providing more options for customer trip requests. From the day we switched to Via, we saw the desired improvements, including record levels of ridership for the RTS On Demand service.

## LAUNCH OF NEW BAY STREET ROUTE

When we launched Reimagine RTS in May 2021, there were components of the plan already recommended for future improvements. Adding a route that provided daily service to Bay Street in the City of Rochester, as well as the Rochester Public Market, was one of our top priorities and we added that route in September 2022. This new route also improved access to destinations such as the Eastman School of Music, World of Inquiry School \#58, Thomas P. Ryan Recreation Center, and John James Audubon School \#33.

## PROGRAM TO RELOAD FARE PASSES AT RETAIL LOCATIONS WITH VANILLA DIRECT

This program makes it possible for RTS customers to reload their RTS Go accounts at select retail outlets across Monroe County. This includes stores like Walmart, Family Dollar, 7-Eleven, and more. A complete list of participating retail outlets is available on the RTS website. Customers who have an RTS Go card with the Vanilla Direct barcode can take their card to a cashier at one of the participating retailers and tell them they would like to reload the card. With this program, customers can now reload their RTS Go cards at the RTS Transit Center, in the lobby at 1372 E. Main Street in Rochester, via the Transit app, and at these participating retail outlets.

## MORE PROGRESS ON TRANSITION TO ZERO-EMISSION VEHICLES

In 2022, RTS added two more battery electric buses to our fleet and made the necessary upgrades to our campus infrastructure to increase our total number of electric buses to 20. In addition to that progress, we were awarded funding from two grants to conduct a demonstration project with Hydrogen Fuel Cell buses. Thanks to this funding, we started the process of procuring five 40-foot Hydrogen Fuel Cell buses, five Hydrogen Fuel Cell vans, and two mobile fueling stations. These vehicles are expected to have a longer range on the road and shorter fueling time as compared to battery electric buses, making them a potentially attractive option for the full transition of our fleet to zero-emission vehicles.


## ADDING ELECTRIC CAR SHARE STATION AT THE RTS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING WITH FLOSHARE

The Flower City Car Share program (FloShare) launched in 2022, adding another mobility option for the people of Rochester. FloShare is different from other mobility options and car share programs because it is an electric vehicle car sharing program. This program will help address transportation equity, climate justice, shared mobility, and the shifting of a mindset that for too long has focused on car ownership. In addition to participating in the launch event, RTS is partnering with FloShare to establish a station at the 1372 E. Main Street campus. Work on this new station began in 2022 and is expected to finish in 2023.

## REGIONAL CITY AND VILLAGE LOCAL SERVICE STUDY

We made great progress in 2022 on the RTS Regional City and Village Local Service Study. The purpose of this study is to identify the best way to serve the cities and villages specified by the Authority, and if those best practices match the service currently provided in the study areas. The tasks we completed on this project in 2022 include: data collection and analysis, stakeholder interviews, on-site service analysis, customer survey distribution and analysis, and initial draft recommendations.

## GROWING DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION (DEI) AT RTS

RTS took significant steps in 2022 on its journey to be more diverse, equitable, and inclusive (DEI). The biggest step occurred in April, when we hired Tracy Archie to fill a new role at RTS, the Executive Vice President of DEI. Tracy hit the ground running, and since her arrival we added new members to the RTS Culture and Inclusion Council, expanded our DEI-related trainings, and continued honoring cultural heritages and observances. Our work last year included hosting a special session of the Exploring Racism Group with Action for a Better Community CEO Jerome Underwood. This session focused on equity and the brainstorming of options for collective transformational work.


## EXPANDING OUR PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CITY OF ROCHESTER

RTS and the City of Rochester have a long and successful relationship that grew stronger in 2022 because of two significant projects. One is a first-of-itskind snow removal partnership along with the Center for Employment Opportunities. This program launched late in 2022 as a pilot program to clear snow from 85 prioritized bus stop and shelter locations in the City of Rochester. These stops are based on average daily ridership and the number of potential customers who rely on wheelchairs or face other mobility challenges. The other is a partnership with the City on a successful competitive grant that will be used to improve bus stop amenities.
"Since 2017, RTS has expanded opportunities for our students to explore Batavia safely and reliably. From getting groceries, to going out with friends, or just taking in the view, RTS has provided students with easy access to the city of Batavia throughout the academic year."

- MC Tasber, Genesee Community College Director of Residence Life \& Learning


# STRATEGIC PLAN \& OPERATIONAL INITIATIVES 



## THE NEW RTS STRATEGIC PLAN FOR 2023-26

Our strategic plan for 2023-26 represents a continued focus on being an innovative public transit provider in each of the counties we serve. Our strategy embraces our continued focus on technology, service excellence, diversity and inclusion, and engagement with customers and employees to make it easier for everyone to enjoy the ride.

RGRTA has maintained its solid track record of measurable and sustainable milestones through its commitment to fiscal discipline, quality service, and increased productivity. We are now focused on building on the new system we implemented in recent years, to improve how we connect our customers to jobs, healthcare, education, shopping, and recreational activities.

As part of that commitment, RGRTA:

- Maintains the $\$ 1$ base fare through Fiscal Year 2023-24
- Stabilized and started growing ridership following implementation of Reimagine RTS
- Consistently achieves on-time performance approaching or surpassing $90 \%$
- Is committed to enhancing the customer experience through safe, quality service
- Remains steadfast in our commitment to reinstate 15 -minute service as intended through Reimagine RTS. We continue our recruitment efforts to achieve the staffing levels required to reinstate frequent service. Our current plans, based on staffing forecasts, begins the reestablishment of frequent service in the fourth quarter of this fiscal year
- Maintains a strong national reputation for its innovative performance management system (TOPS), and exceeded its TOPS goals nearly every quarter for 11 consecutive years
- Maintains our business model to 32 business partner relationships across the Greater Rochester and Finger Lakes region
- Continues increasing its level of engagement in the communities we serve, including partnerships with the Rochester- Monroe Anti-Poverty Initiative, and the United Way Systems Integration Project

This 2023-26 Strategic Plan is guided by RGRTA's intent, mission, and values, and is built upon the Authority's customer-focused commitment to meet the needs of the community:

- Transit dependent customers and individuals with limited mobility and resources who rely on us as their primary method of transportation
- Business partners, including the Rochester City School District, colleges, employers from all sectors, and other organizations with concentrated populations of students, employees, and customers who need affordable and reliable transportation
- Older adults, who increasingly prefer transportation options other than driving due to their age or health, or to support a desired lifestyle change
- Choice riders, particularly young adults, who increasingly view public transit as a good lifestyle fit and essential to their beliefs about environmental sustainability
Our Board of Commissioners and hundreds of employees from all functional areas participated in our collaborative planning process, consisting of:
- An annual employee engagement survey to gather ideas and feedback on what RGRTA does well and opportunities for improvement
- Quarterly customer surveys to gather input and gauge satisfaction
- Daily feedback that provides insights from current customers and business partners, and other community stakeholders
Utilizing that information, the organization set out to identify, prioritize, and establish the annual and longer-range goals and tactics centered on four interdependent strategies, which are further detailed in the following sections:



## Funding Trends

- After many years of stable annual funding (federal and state), the COVID-19 pandemic has injected a dose of uncertainty and challenge.
- While COVID-19 relief funds from the federal government made it possible to maintain service and begin this plan on steady footing, increased funding, along with new, sustainable dedicated revenue streams from New York State is required to ensure stability in the near- and long-term.
- Relief funds from the federal government represent onetime, stop-gap funding that did what they were intended to do - replace a decline in farebox revenue and cover increased expenses due to the pandemic.
- The Authority will continue applying its private-sector business model and mindset to be more productive in using government funds, while supplementing it with other revenue sources as available.
- Continued engagement with lawmakers in Albany and key stakeholders in our service area will ensure public transit needs are considered as part of important funding decisions in our area.


## Demographic/Population Trends

- There is an increased need for public transit to support a more diverse population and lifestyles based on these changing demographics.
- The City of Rochester (where our services are concentrated) continues to be the region's economic and cultural center. Most current and potential riders live and work in Monroe County and the City of Rochester. Approximately $63 \%$ of the population in the Authority's service area lives in Monroe County; this percentage is expected to hold steady over time.
- The region will continue to see population stagnation with a demographic shift to an older population. The number of adults aged 65+ has averaged $2.5 \%$ annual growth since 2012 and represents $18 \%$ of the service area's population.
- The number of young adults living in the Rochester area is starting to decline due largely to people in their 20's leaving Rochester. Even with this demographic shift, there is still demand from young adults in the Rochester region for better transit and more transportation options.
- More public transportation options exist. Transportation Network Companies (TNC) such as Uber and Lyft are well established in the area. Vanpool and bike share programs are also available.


Business, Higher Education, and Employment Trends

- Long-term growth in employment is expected to mirror the slow overall population growth rate.
- Education is now a growing sector for employment, along with professional, scientific, and technical services; construction; and finance and insurance. The manufacturing and retail sectors have had lower employment over the last five years.
- Area colleges and universities will continue to impact the region's economy by growing student populations, providing employment, educating the workforce that employers need, and providing R\&D. They also offer innovation and entrepreneurship centers for local businesses. These institutions need reliable public transportation to attract and retain students and employees.
- There is an increase in service economy jobs, such as call center operations, many of which require multiple work shifts and reliance on part-time employment.
- Due to the COVID-19 pandemic there is a significant increase in remote work. The number of people working from home in Monroe County has more than tripled since 2019. This has a negative impact on transit ridership as these workers are no longer commuting.


## Regionalization

- Beyond Rochester and its suburbs, agriculture, entertainment/recreation, and manufacturing are growing industries with employees who rely on public transportation to get to work.
- Public transportation services at scheduled times are required by clusters of business employers and medical/health facilities to attract the workforces they need.


## ENSURE FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

## We manage our finances to be successful for the near- and long-term.

Financial sustainability over the long term requires us to address specific issues in a tight fiscal environment, one in which revenues have grown more slowly than operating expenses. This reality is expected to continue as one-time federal COVID relief funds are exhausted. We have established three key goals to ensure financial sustainability:

1. Work toward operating budgets where recurring revenues equal recurring expenses,
2. Maintain a funded ten-year capital plan that incorporates competitive grants,
3. Enhance our financial systems to support decision making.

To achieve both short-term and long-term budget targets, we continue to emphasize prudent planning and set realistic financial targets. Our plan includes tactics that are focused on ensuring financial sustainability and funding a variety of needs so we can serve current and future generations.

To achieve this, we will: analyze the cost of different zero-emission vehicle types to inform the development of future budgets, continue our review of our long-term capital funding needs, continue efforts on the final two connection hubs from Reimagine RTS, expand our focus on new facility construction and infrastructure throughout the service area, and update our 15 -year campus strategic plan.
Our long-range financial planning will remain consistent with the previous year and involve identifying and prioritizing needs; continuing our successful efforts in securing sources of competitive grants; and developing a continuous improvement process to manage the challenges of public transit funding.

We enter this fiscal year, like past fiscal years, with a focus on prudently managing the funds provided to us by seeking out efficiencies, process improvements, and business partners willing to subsidize additional services.
"A high-quality public transportation system is an essential cornerstone of any thriving city and Rochester is fortunate to have one of the best systems in the nation. Public transit that is efficient, safe, affordable, and accessible provides equity and opportunity for Rochester's citizens and the entire community. I am thankful for the commitment RTS provides to our community and look forward to continuing our ongoing partnership."

- Malik D. Evans, Rochester Mayor

Click the Screen or Scan the OR Code to Watch a Short Video Message from Mayor Evans


## ENSURE FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

| TACTIC (DESCRIPTION) | MILESTONES | TIMING | CHAMPION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyze the operating <br> cost of different zero- <br> emission vehicle types to <br> inform the development <br> of future budgets | Identify metrics and related data collection and <br> analysis mechanisms | Q1 2023-2024 | Zero-Emission |
| Continuous review of <br> our long-term capital <br> funding needs | Begin capital review for 2024-2025 using the <br> "state of good repair" criteria | Q1 2023-2024 | Finance |

*Project timelines are dependent upon securing needed funding.


## ENSURE FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

| TACTIC (DESCRIPTION) | MILESTONES | TIMING | CHAMPION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design and build a new bus storage facility for RTS Ontario* | Receive Grant | Q3 2023-2024 | RTS Ontario, Finance, Engineering |
|  | Start 30\% Design | Q1 2024-2025 |  |
|  | Complete 30\% design | Q3 2024-2025 |  |
|  | Complete environmental review | Q4 2024-2025 |  |
|  | Start final design | Q1 2025-2026 |  |
|  | Complete final design | Q4 2025-2026 |  |
|  | Start construction | Q1 2025-2026 |  |
|  | Complete construction | Q3 2026-2027 |  |
| Construction of Hylan Drive Connection Hub | Start Construction | Q1 2023-2024 | Engineering, Finance |
|  | Complete Construction | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
| Construction of New Connection Hub Near Irondequoit Plaza | Secure Property | Q1 2023-2024 | Planning, <br> Engineering, <br> Finance, <br> Transportation |
|  | Start Final Design | Q1 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Complete Final Design | Q1 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Start Construction | Q2 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Complete Construction | Q2 2024-2025 |  |
| Establish system-wide 15 -year strategic plan for RTS facilities | Establish an internal working committee | Q1 2023-2024 | Engineering, <br> Zero-Emission <br> Strategies |
|  | Review and prioritize 5/10/15 year needs for Authority-owned campuses | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Review preliminary plan with Leadership and EMT | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Review preliminary plan with Board | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Finalize Plan with EMT | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Present and discuss plan with commissioners | Q4 2023-2024 |  |

*Project timelines are dependent upon securing needed funding.


## ENGAGE EMPLOYEES ON THE RTS WAY

## Engaged employees enthusiastically embrace our mission and feel empowered to go above and beyond while serving our customers.

Within the organization, the RTS Way is how we recognize employees for the behaviors they exhibit that align with our organizational values. By connecting employee behaviors directly to these values, we are able to create a culture that our people embrace as a great place to work and build a career. The way we achieve that goal is through engagement and inclusivity that encourages feedback, understanding, and respectful continuous dialogue.

This approach is consistent with our brand promise. When we invest time and resources in our employees, they pay that engagement forward to provide a better experience for our customers. Building on initiatives that we have completed and those that are currently underway, we will continue providing our people with access to the resources, training, wellness initiatives, and ongoing support they need to be successful in serving our community.
Investing in our people and processes has long been a priority for RTS. It is standard practice for the Authority to develop the pool of talent necessary to meet our customers' needs and achieve our strategic goals. To do this, we developed a robust succession planning process where we identify a diverse cross-section of candidates for future leadership roles. We provided Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion, as well as anti-racism training for our Executive and Leadership teams. We implemented inclusive recruitment and on-boarding processes to include cross-functional interview teams and targeted outreach. We continued our investment in Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) by hiring our Executive Vice President of DEI and expanded the RTS Culture and Inclusion Council to further embed the RTS Way in our culture.

As set forth in the following initiatives, we will continue our work to implement a new Human Capital Management (HCM) system - formerly known as Human Resources Information System (HRIS) - to ensure RTS employees have improved access and ease of use of employee-related systems. We will tap into our DEI efforts to identify and address workplace policies, practices, and behaviors that act as barriers to Diversity and Inclusion. We will refresh the RTS Way program. We will develop a program to provide additional training for managers and supervisors.
These strategic initiatives were developed based on input from employees and we will continue listening to build a culture of trust, engagement, and success.
"The Wayne County Department of Social Services has partnered with RTS for transportation services in Wayne County for years. We are pleased to have a good working relationship with the staff at RTS and appreciate the cooperative nature of the relationship so that our Wayne County community can access needed transportation around our rural county."

- Lisa Graf, DSS Commissioner for Wayne County



## ENGAGE EMPLOYEES ON THE RTS WAY

| TACTIC (DESCRIPTION) | MILESTONES | TIMING | CHAMPION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implement new HCM system to improve access and ease of use for employees | Limited installation and testing | Q2 2023-2024 | People, IT, Accounting |
|  | End user training | Q2 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Go-live | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
| Sustain a work environment that attracts, develops, and retains the best and brightest by identifying and addressing workplace policies, processes, practices, and behaviors that act as barriers to diversity and inclusion | Create a dashboard to track demographic data of the RTS workforce to better ensure equity in recruitment and hiring practices | Q1 2023-2024 | DEI |
|  | Develop and implement action plans to increase inclusion and EEO compliance using D\&I assessment results | Q1-O2 2024-2025 |  |
|  | Conduct a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) climate assessment | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Develop strategy to broaden access to talent and improve RTS' position as an employer of choice | Q3-Q4 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Increase the percentage of employees who indicate "high satisfaction" on the D\&I climate assessment survey by $10 \%$ over initial baseline survey | Q4 2024-2025 |  |
| Develop strategy to implement updated Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) | RGRTA Safety Committee develops implementation and education plan | Q1-Q4 2023-2024 | RGRTA Safety Committee |
|  | Begin annual review and update of PTASP | Q2 2023-2024 |  |
|  | PTASP implementation begins with employee education campaign | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Develop and implement employee safety training program | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
| RTS Way Program Refresh | Select vendor | Q1 2023-2024 | People, Communications \& Marketing |
|  | Develop the work plan | Q2 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Implement launch plan and communications strategy | Q3-Q4 2023-2024 |  |
| Introduce program to provide additional training for managers and supervisors | Implement training program | Q1-Q4 2023-2024 | People |

## ENHANCE THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE \& DELIVER SAFE, QUALITY SERVICE

We actively engage with our customers to identify ways to improve their experience, and then design and deliver reliable, cost-effective products consistent with our brand promise.

With redesigned transit systems in place across the RTS service area, we have turned our focus to making improvements that are innovative and enhance our efforts to provide customers with public transportation that is safe, frequent, reliable, and connected. To ensure the success of this strategic pillar, we need to have a transit system that is financially sustainable. We can then engage with customers and identify innovation and efficiencies that enhance their experience and make it easier to deliver safe, quality service.

The COVID-19 pandemic emphasized the value of public transportation and provided further proof that the disruptive change in our industry that drove the reimagining of our public transit system reflects the evolving needs of the people and communities we serve. The RTS Transit Center, our Customer Relationship Management system (CRM), and the initiatives we have prioritized will allow us to help our customers and make it easier for them to enjoy the ride.

In 2022, we continued bringing to life key elements of the new transit system that truly enhance the customer experience. These included a new software platform for RTS On Demand, the implementation of a new route that provides daily service to Bay Street and the Rochester Public Market, a new program that makes it possible for customers to reload their RTS Go accounts at select retail outlets across Monroe County, a partnership with the Flower City Electric Car Share program, the start of a project that will replace the CAD/AVL system on RTS Access buses and prepare for the implementation of contactless fares, and a study of local service in the respective cities and villages served by the RTS Regional Operation.

Our team is relentless in their commitment to achieving continuous improvement by focusing on reliability, increasing consistency, improving our processes, incorporating new technologies, and using data and analytics to make the best possible decisions.

The goals and tactics listed here represent our commitment to provide safe and sustainable mobility, offering better access, frequency, and reliability.

The following tactics reflect our continued efforts to embrace new technology and improve the customer experience. These include continued efforts to evaluate potential improvements to our regional service, the replacement of CAD/ AVL technology on RTS Access buses and the implementation of contactless fares, and an Origin and Destination study to ensure the new transit system is meeting the changed needs of the people we serve. Each of these initiatives will help RTS deliver safe, quality service and improve performance so we can continue making it easy for our customers to enjoy the ride.

Click the Screen or Scan the QR Code to Watch a Short Video Message from County Executive Adam Bello

"Access to public transportation is a cornerstone of every thriving community - and RTS continues to be an innovative, steadfast partner in ensuring Monroe County residents can access the services they need to live successful, healthy lives. Whether going to school, the doctor, work, or the grocery store - RTS and its staff keep us moving forward. Their responsiveness to evolving community needs is second to none - from retooling buses to ensure driver and passenger safety during the pandemic, to rolling out electric buses and their goal of being fully zero-emission by 2035. Time and time again RTS has proved to be an essential component of Monroe County's success."

- Adam Bello, Monroe County Executive

| TACTIC (DESCRIPTION) | MILESTONES | TIMING | CHAMPION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conduct study to evaluate feasibility of a local circulator route in rural villages and cities | Evaluate study recommendations | Q1 2023-2024 | RTS Regionals |
| Conduct study on the need for and feasibility of on-demand service in the regional counties | Begin study | Q1 2023-2024 | RTS Regionals |
|  | Receive draft recommendations | Q2 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Receive final recommendations | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Study complete, evaluate recommendations | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
| CAD/AVL Replacement and Implementation of Contactless Fares for RTS Access | User acceptance testing and changes (CAD/AVL) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q4 2022-2023 - } \\ & \text { Q1 2023-2024 } \end{aligned}$ | RTS Access, Information Technology |
|  | CAD/AVL full fleet roll-out | Q1 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Design, installation, and configuration (contactless fares) | Q2 2023-2024 |  |
|  | User acceptance testing and changes (contactless fares) | Q2-Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Contactless Fares Pilot | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Contactless Fares Roll-out | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
| Conduct origin and destination study to identify travel behaviors of customers in the new transit system | Identify and award contract to vendor | Q1-Q2 2023-2024 | Planning |
|  | Begin study with data collection | Q3 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Analyze data and draft findings | Q4 2023-2024 |  |
|  | Finalize report and complete project | Q4 2023-2024 |  |

"In Congress, I remain focused on strengthening our local infrastructure through federal funding dedicated to uplifting our local families. This means ensuring our region has access to efficient and reliable public transportation so they can get to work and help grow our local economy. I am so proud of the team at RTS for consistently striving to modernize their fleet and reduce their carbon footprint while still providing excellent service options and connecting our communities. Thank you for all you do."

- Joe Morelle, Congressman

Click the Screen or Scan the QR Code to Watch a Short Video Message from Congressman Morelle


## DEMONSTRATE CLIMATE LEADERSHIP

We embrace our role as a climate leader and actively work with lawmakers and environmental leaders to make public transit a key partner in the community's efforts to combat climate change.

When the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act was signed into law in 2019, it marked New York State's commitment to reducing economy-wide greenhouse gas emissions 40 percent by 2030 and no less than 85 percent by 2050 from 1990 levels. The law established a Climate Action Council that is developing recommendations to meet these targets and place New York on a path toward carbon neutrality.

RTS and its partner transit systems across the state play a significant role in New York's efforts to achieve its climate goals. Additionally, RTS is one of five transit systems in the state working towards the goal of having 25 percent of its bus fleet zero-emission by 2025 and 100 percent by 2035 .
The following initiatives will help us continue our work to meet these goals and further establish our role as a climate leader in the public transit industry. We will design and start building a new fueling and storage depot for zero-emission vehicles. We will purchase Hydrogen Fuel Cell buses and vans, as well as a fueling station as part of a demonstration project to evaluate the feasibility of this technology as part of our fleet. And we will finish updating the RTS Zero-Emission Master Plan.

Continuing our work with New York State and local climate-related organizations will help us enhance our role as a valuable community partner.

## DEMONSTRATE CLIMATE LEADERSHIP

| TACTIC (DESCRIPTION) | MILESTONES | TIMING | CHAMPION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Design and build a <br> new facility to help us <br> meet New York's goal of <br> having a zero-emission <br> bus fleet by 2035 | Complete Environmental Review | Qtart Final Design | Complete Final Design |
|  | Start Construction | Q 42023 -2024 | Maintenance, <br> Finance, |
|  | Complete Construction | Q 42024 -2025 | Engineering, <br> Zero-Emission <br> Strategies |
| Purchase Hydrogen Fuel <br> Cell buses and vans, <br> and a fueling station for <br> demonstration project | Identify fueling station location | Finalize specifications for buses and vans | Award fueling station construction services |

## FINANCIAL PLAN



## FISCAL YEAR 2023-2024 OPERATING BUDGET

Management is pleased to present a balanced operating budget for the fiscal year 2023-2024 (FY2024) which maintains the existing customer fare structures for our entire service area. This is the fourteenth consecutive year of maintaining the $\$ 1$ base adult fare for customers of Regional Transit Service (RTS) in Monroe County, the largest subsidiary.

Total planned operating expenses for FY2024 are $\$ 124.5$ million, representing an increase of $3.3 \%$ from the prior year's budget. Within that total, personnel costs are $\$ 89.1$ million, an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million from the prior year. Non-personnel costs are budgeted at $\$ 35.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 2.3$ million from the prior year. Total estimated revenues supporting operations are $\$ 124.5$ million, representing an increase of $3.3 \%$ from the prior year's budget.

A more in-depth discussion of the key factors, which affect revenues and expenses, follows. A comparison of the FY2024 Operating Budget versus the prior year is provided on the next page.

## THE AUTHORITY'S FINANCIAL PLAN CONSISTS OF THREE ELEMENTS:

1. Operating Budget for Fiscal Year 2023-2024
2. Multi-Year Budget Projection covering fiscal years 2023-2024 through 2026-2027
3. Ten-Year Capital Improvement Plan covering fiscal years 2023-2024 through 2032-2033



Financial Certification of the Chief Executive Officer

SUMMARY FY2023 AND FY2024 OPERATING BUDGET COMPARISON (\$ MILLIONS)
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## REVENUES SUPPORTING THE AUTHORITY'S OPERATIONS ARE DERIVED FROM THREE MAIN SOURCES:

1. Locally generated, which include customer and special fares;
2. Governmental subsidies provided by member counties, New York State, and the federal government; and
3. Mortgage Recording Tax receipts, which are collected by member counties and distributed to the Authority.

Following is a more in-depth explanation of the key factors which impact revenues and expenses.


## REVENUE FACTORS

OPERATING REVENUE SOURCE COMPARISON
2022-2023: \$120.6 Million


2023-2024: \$124.5 Million


| State Aid | Mortgage Recording Tax |
| :--- | :--- |
| Federal Aid | Other |
| Special Transit | County Aid |
| Customer Fares |  |



## LOCALLY GENERATED

These include the categories of customer fares, special transit fares, and other revenues (including the use of previously recognized federal revenues). A total of $\$ 39.3$ million is budgeted for FY2024. Locally generated revenues are expected to support approximately $31.6 \%$ of total planned operating expenses for FY2024.

## Customer Fares

Total budgeted customer fares are estimated to be $\$ 6.3$ million, an increase of approximately $\$ 1.2$ million from the 2022-2023 Budget. Customer fare revenue has experienced a decline during the pandemic, we are anticipating a modest increase over our projected actual for the FY2023. Customer fares are derived from the cash fare and various pass programs offered to our riders depending on their needs.

## Special Transit Fares

A total of $\$ 12.1$ million is estimated for special transit fares in the FY2024 budget, an increase of approximately $\$ 1.6$ million from the prior year budget. This increase is primarily due to anticipated additional service to our largest subsidy agreement and anticipated continuance of this into FY2024. Special transit fares are derived from route subsidy agreements with community partners, such as educational institutions, private sector firms, and non-profit agencies that benefit from fixed routes services provided by the Authority. Continued emphasis on business development to maintain and grow revenues from subsidy agreements continues to be a critical element of the Authority's business model and financial sustainability.

CUSTOMER FARES (\$ MILLIONS)


## GOVERNMENTAL SUBSIDIES

Governmental subsidies are the largest revenue category of the Authority and are expected to support approximately $58.7 \%$ of total operating expenses for FY2024. Governmental subsidies are received from the federal government, New York State, and each member county.

## Federal Aid

Federal aid planned for FY2024 totals \$15.2 million, increasing approximately $\$ 0.2$ million from last year's budget. The federal government previously provided multiple stimulus programs that provided additional aid to support public transit during the pandemic. These aid packages were in response to public transit being identified as a critical component to keeping other essential businesses open. During FY2022, the Authority recognized these stimulus funds, and in FY2024 and beyond will use them to effectively bridge the recovery of lost revenue during the pandemic and offset current operating costs.
The major components of federal aid are annual formulabased grants under the urbanized "5307" program and rural "5311" program.

## State Aid

State aid planned for FY2024 is $\$ 54.0$ million, up approximately $\$ 1.0$ million from last year's budget. This increase from FY2023 is a result of the State's Budget for FY2023 providing $\$ 1.0$ million more in funding than was anticipated as part of our FY2023 Budget. We have included no increase in the FY2024 Budget as the Governor's Proposed budget has not been released at the time of our budget development.
State aid is comprised of an annual state budget allocation under the State Mass Transportation Operating Assistance program (STOA) and mandatory state matching funds for federal preventive maintenance aid.

## County Aid

The total amount of county aid to be received by the Authority from all member counties is $\$ 3.9$ million and has remained unchanged for several years.
Each of the Authority's member counties are required under New York State Transportation Law to make an annual contribution in support of public transportation services provided within their respective jurisdictions. The amount of county aid can only be altered by an act of the State Legislature.

NEW YORK STATE MASS TRANSPORTATION OPERATING ASSISTANCE (\$ MILLIONS)


[^0]

## MORTGAGE RECORDING TAX

Mortgage Recording Tax (MRT) is a state tax collected by the county clerks and distributed to municipalities, school districts, and public transportation authorities.

MRT planned for FY2024 is $\$ 12.1$ million, a modest $2.5 \%$ increase from last year's budget based on home value increases as noted in prior years.

MORTGAGE RECORDING TAX RECEIPTS (\$ MILLIONS)

*Actual
**Projected/Budgeted

## EXPENSE FACTORS

The Authority's operational spending plan for FY2024 was developed with the following key concepts: maintaining the high quality of services customers deserve and restricting cost increases wherever possible. Total estimated operating expenses for the fiscal year are $\$ 124.5$ million, representing an approximate increase of $\$ 4.0$ million compared to the prior year's budget plan. The pie chart below illustrates the allocation of planned expenses among the major personnel and non-personnel categories.

OPERATING EXPENSES: 2023-24
\$124.5 MILLION



## PERSONNEL

Total estimated wages and benefits for FY2024 are $\$ 89.1$ million, representing an increase of approximately $1.9 \%$ from the prior year's budget. The primary driver of this increase is cost of living adjustments to wages, contractual obligations, and health insurance.

## NON-PERSONNEL EXPENSES

Included in this category are fuel and lubricants, bus parts and shop supplies, contracted services, insurance premiums and liability claims, depreciation, and other miscellaneous expenses. Total estimated cost for nonpersonnel expenses for FY 2024 is $\$ 35.4$ million, representing an increase of $\$ 2.3$ million compared to the prior year's budget. The chart below provides a detailed year-to-year comparison for each category.

NON-PERSONNEL SUMMARY (000's)

|  | 2022-2023 <br> OPERATING <br> BUDGET | 2023-2024 <br> OPERATING <br> BUDGET | CHANGE | \% CHANGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contracted Services | $\$ 10,695$ | $\$ 11,573$ | $\$ 879$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| Fuel/Lubricants | $\$ 6,706$ | $\$ 7,108$ | $\$ 402$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| Parts | $\$ 4,499$ | $\$ 4,612$ | $\$ 113$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Depreciation | $\$ 3,013$ | $\$ 3,009$ | $\$(4)$ | $(0.1) \%$ |
| Casualty \& Liability | $\$ 2,504$ | $\$ 2,672$ | $\$ 168$ | $6.7 \%$ |
| Miscellaneous | $\$ 1,971$ | $\$ 2,238$ | $\$ 317$ | $16.6 \%$ |
| Other Materials/Supplies | $\$ 1,784$ | $\$ 1,703$ | $\$(80)$ | $(4.5) \%$ |
| Utilities | $\$ 1,334$ | $\$ 1,731$ | $\$ 398$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| Lease/Rental | $\$ 627$ | $\$ 705$ | $\$ 79$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 33,130$ | $\$ 35,402$ | $\$ 2,272$ | $6.9 \%$ |

The key drivers of non-personnel expenses are outlined below.
Fuel and Lubricants
Fuel and lubricant costs estimated at $\$ 7.1$ million for FY 2024 , increasing $\$ 0.4$ million from the prior year budget. The FY2024 budget is based upon historical and current futures market price indications. The chart below depicts the historical price per gallon over the past three years, as well as the budgeted spot price.

DIESEL FUEL PRICE/GALLON TREND VS. BUDGET


$$
\text { - USLD* } \quad \text { SWAP } \quad \text { - 2022-23 Spot Budget* }
$$

## Bus Parts and Other Materials and Supplies

Bus parts and other materials and supplies expenses planned for FY2024 total $\$ 6.3$ million, representing a minor increase from the prior year's budget.

## Contracted Services

Contracted services include professional fees for legal, medical, and governmental relations; contracted vehicle maintenance for regional companies; maintenance services for various building systems and software; custodial and security services; automobile insurance; leases, and parts supply management services. Total estimated FY2024 contracted services expenses are $\$ 11.6$ million, an increase of approximately $8.2 \%$ due primarily to cost of living adjustments to existing contracts.

## Miscellaneous and Other Expenses

Miscellaneous and other expenses during FY2024 are budgeted at $\$ 7.4$ million, increasing by $\$ 1.0$ million from the prior year's budget due to rising utility rates and usage. This category includes elements such as liability claims; utilities; marketing; subscriptions; and employee travel and training.

## Depreciation

All fixed assets acquired by the Authority are depreciated on a straight line basis over the term of their useful lives. Local depreciation for FY2024 is budgeted at $\$ 3.0$ million, flat from the prior year's budget. Local depreciation accounts for the expense associated with past and current year Capital Improvement plans of the Authority.


## MULTI-YEAR BUDGET PROJECTION

Fiscal Years 2022-23 - 2026-27

Sound fiscal management practice and regulations for public authorities require the development of a Multi-Year Budget Projection (Multi-Year) to alert the Authority's Board of Commissioners, customers, and the community at large of future challenges and opportunities that may impact the Authority's ability to meet its mission and vision. It is then management's responsibility to develop alternative action plans, as needed, to effectively meet those challenges or opportunities.
The Authority has developed and maintains a multi-year forecast model that spans four fiscal years: the current year plus the next three fiscal years. The model is built from the ground up, starting at the subsidiary company level and rolling into a consolidated summary to present an overall perspective for review and discussion. The Authority periodically updates this fiscal projection to maintain its relevancy in the face of dynamic factors such as the economy, the fiscal health of our key governmental subsidy providers, and internal drivers of both expense and revenue.
It's important to recognize the uncertainties inherent in any projection. The Multi-Year represents a composite look at numerous future estimates of revenue and expense. Some estimates are based on known fact, while others rely on historical trends as well as an educated guess.

The term "Available Unrestricted Net Assets" (AUNA) refers to Authority funds which are not restricted nor committed to specific purposes. These funds are available for future Authority needs as determined by the Board of Commissioners. The level of AUNA is a very important component of the Authority's overall financial health as these assets also represent a potential funding source for future needs. As of March 31, 2022, the Authority's AUNA totaled approximately $\$ 79.8$ million.

This latest update of the Multi-Year contemplates a continued inherent structural imbalance of public transit revenue growth lagging, exasperated by the pandemic recovery, behind expenses required to maintain service levels.

The revenues supporting operations are essentially flat, ranging from $\$ 96.9$ million to $\$ 97.9$ million. Projected annual operating expenses increase from $\$ 134.6$ to $\$ 158.2$ million over the same period, representing an increase of $\$ 23.6$ million. Each year of the projection shows a funding gap that grows from $\$ 37.7$ million to $\$ 60.3$ million with a cumulative total gap of $\$ 80.3$ million.
The Authority's current fiscal strength (represented by an estimated $\$ 79.8$ million of AUNA on March 31, 2023) could theoretically close these projected funding gaps through fiscal year 2024-25, and thereby enable the maintenance of service levels and current fare structures for customers - notwithstanding future actions that may be taken by management to reduce projected costs and increase revenues (other than customer fares) that are contained in the projection.
Once again, the Multi-Year Budget Projection provides a view of potential future financial conditions. It's a reminder, too, of the continuing importance of strategic planning to ensure the Authority's ability to provide the scope and quality of public transit services so vital to the well-being of the community.
Key elements/assumptions driving this forecast are as follows:

## REVENUES

Locally generated

- No increase in fare structures for all subsidiary companies
- Ridership trends continue
- Maintenance of major subsidy partner revenues


## Government Subsidies

- STOA allocation increased in the 2022-2023

State Proposed Budget and then remains flat

- No increase in member county subsidies
- Stimulus Act funding due to the pandemic is eliminated and approximately $40 \%$ of the federal Section 5307 formula aid as contained in the Multi-Year Transportation Authorization utilized for Operations


## Mortgage Tax

- An estimated increase of $2.5 \%$ annually


## EXPENSES

- Scope of transit services planned for 2023-2024 is based on anticipated service levels across the service area.


## Personnel

- Service needs being met on a regular basis
- Medical insurance premium rates increase according to anticipated self-insurance costs. All employees continue to share in premium cost

Non-personnel

- Impacted due to anticipated cost of living adjustments

CONSOLIDATED MULTI-YEAR BUDGET PROJECTION 2024-2025 TO 2026-2027¹ (\$ MILLIONS)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECTED } \\ & \text { 2022-2023 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BUDGET } \\ & \text { 2023-2024² } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECTION } \\ & \text { 2024-2025 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PROJECTION } \\ \text { 2025-2026 } \end{array}$ | PROJECTION <br> 2026-2027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Locally generated revenues³ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fares: Cash, Passes, Subsidy Agreements | \$ 15.7 | \$ 18.4 | \$ 18.5 | \$ 18.5 | \$ 18.5 |
| Other (Interest Earnings, Recoveries, Reimbursements) | \$ 21.2 | \$ 20.8 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 2.7 | \$ 2.7 |
| LOCALLY GENERATED REVENUES | \$ 36.9 | \$ 39.3 | \$ 21.1 | \$ 21.2 | \$ 21.3 |
| GOVERNMENTAL SUBSIDIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ 15.5 | \$ 15.2 | \$ 6.5 | \$ 6.5 | \$ 6.5 |
| State | \$ 53.8 | \$ 54.0 | \$ 53.1 | \$ 53.1 | \$ 53.1 |
| County | \$ 3.9 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 3.9 |
| GOVERNMENTAL SUBSIDIES | \$ 73.2 | \$ 73.1 | \$ 63.4 | \$ 63.5 | \$ 63.5 |
| MORTGAGE RECORDING TAX | \$ 13.3 | \$ 12.1 | \$ 12.4 | \$ 12.8 | \$ 13.1 |
| total revenue | \$123.4 | \$124.5 | \$ 96.9 | \$ 97.4 | \$ 97.9 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERSONNEL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee Wages | \$ 57.6 | \$ 61.2 | \$ 64.3 | \$ 67.5 | \$ 70.9 |
| Medical Insurance | \$ 16.0 | \$ 15.0 | \$ 17.7 | \$ 20.9 | \$ 24.7 |
| Other Fringe Benefits | \$ 12.7 | \$ 12.9 | \$ 14.2 | \$ 15.6 | \$ 17.2 |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL | \$ 86.4 | \$ 89.1 | \$ 96.2 | \$104.0 | \$112.8 |
| NON-PERSONNEL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel \& Lubricants | \$ 6.7 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 8.1 | \$ 9.3 | \$ 10.7 |
| Other Non-Personnel | \$ 26.4 | \$ 28.3 | \$ 30.3 | \$ 32.4 | \$ 34.7 |
| TOTAL NON-PERSONNEL | \$ 33.1 | \$ 35.4 | \$ 38.4 | \$ 41.7 | \$ 45.4 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | \$119.5 | \$124.5 | \$134.6 | \$145.7 | \$158.2 |
| NET INCOME (DEFICIT) FROM OPERATIONS \& SUBSIDIES² | \$ 3.9 | - | \$ (37.7) | \$ (48.3) | \$ (60.3) |
| ESTIMATED AVAILABLE UNRESTRICTED NET ASSETS BOY | \$ 79.8 | \$ 83.7 | \$ 66.0 | \$ 28.3 | \$ (20.0) |
| ESTIMATED AVAILABLE UNRESTRICTED NET ASSETS EOY | \$ 83.7 | \$ 66.03 | \$ 28.3 | \$ (20.0) | \$ (80.3) |

[^1]
## TEN-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) is the Authority's ten-year plan of proposed capital investments necessary to maintain and improve the infrastructure. The key elements of this infrastructure are rolling stock, facilities, and technology related equipment and systems. The CIP is fiscally constrained in that the funding schedule and sources for all listed projects have been identified.
The process by which capital needs are identified and solutions proposed is ongoing. It begins as department heads review asset listings for their area of expertise. Adjustments are made to reflect their replacement needs and each asset is scored. Additionally, department heads prepare formal requests for any new projects. Capital projects must have a life expectancy of at least one year and a minimum cost of $\$ 5,000$.
Utilizing these project listings, the CFO and CEO sought additional information to verify project scoring. Based on the additional information, final scoring is compiled and the list of funded projects is arrived at. This list is then reviewed by the Executive Management Team before final approval by the Board of Commissioners.

## GENERAL OVERVIEW

The CIP is fiscally constrained within available funding over the ten year period. It contains 97 projects with estimated expenses of $\$ 377.6$ million. The first year of the plan including projects in progress is $\$ 148.1$ million.

2023-24 CAPITAL EXPENSES BY PROJECT TYPE

| PROJECT TYPE | NUMBER OF <br> PROJECTS | \$ (MILLIONS) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Preventive <br> Maintenance | 1 | $\$ 30.4$ |
| Rolling Stock | 22 | $\$ 69.8$ |
| Facilities | 8 | $\$ 39.7$ |
| Equipment | 6 | $\$ 3.2$ |
| Transportation <br> Technologies | 5 | $\$ 3.6$ |
| Other | 7 | $\$ 1.5$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 8 . 1}$ |

Management decisions about the allocation of limited capital resources are tied to the Authority's priorities through the scoring criteria identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan. The results of the project scoring emphasize the need to replace critical assets including rolling stock, IT and maintenance equipment, and continued investment into facilities to bring them into a state of good repair.


## SOURCE OF FUNDS

Capital funding is primarily dependent upon federal grants, which are partially matched by contributions from both New York State and the Authority. Generally, the funding split for capital investments is $80 \%$ federal, $10 \%$ state, and $10 \%$ local. The New York State Department of Transportation provides capital grants to meet the $10 \%$ state share of formula derived federally funded projects. The Authority's local capital contributions are funded from its Capital Reserve Fund, supported by annual transfers from working capital in an amount equal to the local depreciation budgeted expense.
In November 2021, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act reauthorizing surface transportation programs through Fiscal Year 2025 was signed into law. This establishment of a long-term funding bill provides stability and allows for better planning. It should be noted that federal grants from the Section 5307/5339 formula grant program represent the primary revenue stream (providing over 70\% of total funding) supporting the Authority's Ten-Year Capital Improvement Plan.
The Authority has made the following assumptions with respect to funding sources for this CIP:

- The Authority's Federal Section 5307 and 5339 formula assistance is based on estimates for federal fiscal years 2022-2025 and then flat based on 2021 funding for the remainder of the plan.
- The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act includes funding for the Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339 federal discretionary program. The Authority will seek funding but no new awards are assumed in the CIP.
- Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funds were allocated to the greater Rochester area for transportation improvement projects that will improve air quality standards. This Plan assumes no future allocations of CMAQ funds to the Authority as reflected in the Genesee Transportation Council's regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).
- FHWA \& STP/FLEX grants, which are federal highway funds flexed for mass transit use, have not been assumed.
- All rolling stock capital needs for the Authority's rural subsidiaries are assumed to be fully funded by the federal Section 5311 non-urbanized area formula program.
- No additional New York State dedicated capital funding is assumed past 2026/27.
- Other CIP funds include distributions from the RGRTA capital reserve fund.


## SOURCE OF FUNDS

\$377.6 MILLION


## USE OF FUNDS BY OBJECT OF EXPENSE

All capital projects contained within this CIP can be classified among the following categories: Preventive Maintenance, Rolling Stock, Facilities, Transportation Technologies, Equipment, and Other.

## Preventive Maintenance

The Authority allocates a portion of its annual Section 5307 formula grant for the Preventive Maintenance (PM) of capital assets. Technically a "capital" expense by federal regulations, PM essentially functions as a subsidy for operating expenses related to the support and preventive maintenance of federally acquired assets. The total PM allocation over the CIP is $\$ 93.7$ million.

## Rolling Stock

The Authority recognizes that timely replacement of its revenue vehicles is an integral part of maintaining long-term financial stability and providing excellence in customer service. A total of $\$ 230$ million is planned for the upcoming ten-year period, inclusive of vehicles currently under order. To accommodate the uneven annual fleet replacement schedule, the Authority will, when necessary, carry forward significant grant allocations from year to year. Continual evaluation of the size and make-up of the Authority's fleet roster to identify the most efficient use of revenue vehicles to satisfy customer demand is essential.

## Hydrogen Fuel Cell Powered Buses

The Authority was awarded discretionary grant funding to purchase five hydrogen fuel cell powered 40 -ft buses, up to five hydrogen fuel cell powered vans and fueling infrastructure. This project will allow the Authority to better evaluate the performance of hydrogen fuel cell powered vehicles in this region. Coupled with data collected from the operation of battery electric buses, this will allow for the Authority to better plan its transition to a $100 \%$ zero-emission fixed route fleet.

## Facilities

The Authority remains committed to improving the quality of transportation service in the community and the quality of the transportation experience for customers through investments in a new operations facility for RTS Access and construction of Regional facilities. The CIP contains $\$ 39.7$ million to be invested in facilities.

USE OF FUNDS BY OBJECT OF EXPENSE
\$377.6 MILLION


## Reimagine RTS Connection Hubs

The changes we made through Reimagine RTS have improved the way customers travel across the region. The incorporation of alternative methods of transportation to the standard fixed route system served by $40-\mathrm{ft}$ buses added the need for locations for customers to transfer between the various transit options. In order to mitigate the impact on customers from this change in service, the Authority has constructed Connection Hubs. During this fiscal year the Authority intends to continue to progress the Hyland Drive Connection Hub and a revised location for the Irondequoit Plaza Connection Hub.

## RTS Access Facility

The existing facility for RTS Access needs significant renovations to bring it to a state of good repair. Due to the location and size of the existing property, the Authority has determined a new location needs to be found for a future facility that not only meets the current needs to provide paratransit service but allows for anticipated future growth. The Authority has completed the preliminary design for the new facility and anticipates completing the environmental review shortly. The funding included in the Capital Plan will allow the Authority to purchase the desired property and progress to final design. Partial funding for the construction of the facility has been secured, but additional funding is needed to fully fund the project.

## Transportation Technologies

## Mobile Fare Ticketing

The Authority was awarded CMAQ grant funding to design and implement a mobile fare collection system for use in Monroe County. This project was implemented for RTS in 2020. It has improved customer experiences through increased flexibility in fare payments, improved boarding times, and allows the Authority to gather more detailed ridership data to better structure service to meet the demands of customers. With remaining funding in the initial CMAQ award, the Authority is expanding mobile fare collection options to RTS Access with an anticipated go live in the Fall of 2023.
Total investment in Transportation Technologies over this CIP is $\$ 6.2$ million.

## Equipment

This classification contains assets necessary to maintain or increase operational efficiencies, such as routine maintenance facility equipment. The Authority will fund $\$ 5$ million in equipment needs in this CIP.

Other
Capital projects in this section of the Plan are primarily focused on transit enhancements for the public. Such projects include the installation of bus shelters at RTS and for the regional companies. The total investment in miscellaneous projects is $\$ 2.9$ million in this CIP.


| 2023/24-2032/33 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | COMPANY | PROJECTS IN PROGRESS REMAINING COST @ 12/31/22 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2032/33 | TOTAL |
| Preventive Maintenance | RTS/Access | 15,154,723 | 15,292,723 | 8,101,249 | 8,372,825 | 8,525,960 | 6,514,277 | 6,417,295 | 6,260,396 | 6,260,396 | 6,431,844 | 6,378,123 | \$93,709,811 |
| TOTAL PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE |  | \$15,154,723 | \$15,292,723 | \$8,101,249 | \$8,372,825 | \$8,525,960 | \$6,514,277 | \$6,417,295 | \$6,260,396 | \$6,260,396 | \$6,431,844 | \$6,378,123 | \$93,709,811 |
| Replace 10 Battery Electric 40-ft Buses | RTS | \$7,669,754 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,669,754 |
| Replace 27 Transit Buses | RTS | 15,188,011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,188,011 |
| Replace 9 Transit Buses | RTS | 5,544,575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,544,575 |
| RTS On Demand Vans (10) | RTS | 955,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$955,000 |
| Hydrogen Fuel Cell Buses, Vans and Fueling Infrastructure | RTS | 15,064,165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,064,165 |
| Replace 20 Transit Buses | RTS |  | 11,772,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,772,900 |
| Replace 5 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  | 3,167,559 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,167,559 |
| Replace 25 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  | 15,254,397 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,254,397 |
| Replace 20 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  |  | 18,625,474 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$18,625,474 |
| Replace 15 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  |  | 9,427,507 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,427,507 |
| Replace 11 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  |  |  | 7,114,210 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,114,210 |
| Replace 21 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,636,204 |  |  |  |  | \$13,636,204 |
| Replace 15 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,666,190 |  |  | \$9,666,190 |
| Replace 10 Transit Buses | RTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,023,629 |  | \$7,023,629 |
| Replace 20 RTS On Demand Vehicles | RTS |  |  |  | 2,303,472 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,164,102 | \$9,467,574 |
| Replace 5 RTS On Demand Vehicles | RTS |  |  |  |  |  | 1,274,117 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,274,117 |
| Replace 6 RTS On Demand Vehicles | RTS |  |  |  |  |  | 1,113,272 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,113,272 |
| Replace 20 RTS On Demand Vehicles | RTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,606,168 |  |  | \$2,606,168 |
| Replace 8 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access | 982,909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$982,909 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access | 991,204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$991,204 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  | 1,278,786 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,278,786 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  | 1,317,150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,317,150 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  | 1,356,664 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,356,664 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  | 1,397,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,397,364 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  |  | 1,439,285 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,439,285 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,482,464 |  |  |  |  | \$1,482,464 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,526,938 |  |  |  | \$1,526,938 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,572,746 |  |  | \$1,572,746 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,619,928 |  | \$1,619,928 |
| Replace 10 Paratransit Buses | RTS Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,668,526 | \$1,668,526 |
| Replace 7 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston | 794,556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$794,556 |


| 2023/24-2032/33 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | COMPANY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECTS IN } \\ & \text { PROGRESS } \\ & \text { REMAINING } \\ & \text { COST @ } \\ & 12 / 31 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2032/33 | TOTAL |
| Replace 8 Regional Buses | RTS Ontario | 968,550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$968,550 |
| Replace 4 Regional Buses | RTS Orleans | 519,322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$519,322 |
| Replace 6 Regional Buses | RTS Seneca | 692,427 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$692,427 |
| Replace 7 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne | 778,982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$778,982 |
| Replace 4 Regional Buses | RTS Wyoming | 519,322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$519,322 |
| Replace 10 Type III Buses | RTS Genesee |  | 1,605,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,605,000 |
| Replace 2 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston |  | 325,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$325,000 |
| Replace 2 Regional Buses | RTS Ontario |  | 325,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$325,000 |
| Expand 1 Regional Bus | RTS Ontario |  | 268,248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$268,248 |
| Replace 2 Regional Buses | RTS Orleans |  | 325,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$325,000 |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne |  | 1,605,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,605,000 |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Wyoming |  | 1,605,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,605,000 |
| Replace 4 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston |  |  |  | 1,189,645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,189,645 |
| Replace 12 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne |  |  |  | 3,568,936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,568,936 |
| Replace 9 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston |  |  |  |  | 1,657,597 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,657,597 |
| Replace 9 Regional Buses | RTS Ontario |  |  |  |  | 1,657,597 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,657,597 |
| Replace 6 Regional Buses | RTS Orleans |  |  |  |  | 1,105,065 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,105,065 |
| Replace 8 Regional Buses | RTS Seneca |  |  |  |  | 1,473,420 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,473,420 |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne |  |  |  |  | 1,841,774 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,841,774 |
| Replace 4 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston |  |  |  |  |  | 762,495 |  |  |  |  |  | \$762,495 |
| Replace 12 Regional Buses | RTS Ontario |  |  |  |  |  | 2,287,484 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,287,484 |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne |  |  |  |  |  | 1,906,237 |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,906,237 |
| Replace 8 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne |  |  |  |  |  | 2,548,756 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,548,756 |
| Replace 14 Regional Buses | RTS Wyoming |  |  |  |  |  | 2,668,731 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,668,731 |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Genesee |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,972,955 |  |  |  |  | \$1,972,955 |
| Replace 4 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,318,981 |  |  |  |  | \$1,318,981 |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,972,955 |  |  |  |  | \$1,972,955 |
| Replace 1 Regional Bus | RTS Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 341,286 |  |  |  | \$341,286 |
| Replace 2 Regional Buses | RTS Genesee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 706,463 |  |  | \$706,463 |
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$2,187,450$

| Replace 9 Regional Buses | RTS Livingston |
| :--- | :--- |
| Replace 9 Regional Buses | RTS Ontario |
| Replace 6 Regional Buses | RTS Orleans |
| Replace 8 Regional Buses | RTS Seneca |
| Replace 10 Regional Buses | RTS Wayne | Replace 4 Regional Buses RTS Livingston Replace 12 Regional Buses RTS Ontario Replace 10 Regional Buses RTS Wayne Replace 14 Regional Buses RTS Wyoming total roling stock


| 2023/24-2032/33 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | COMPANY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECTS IN } \\ & \text { PROGRESS } \\ & \text { REMAINING } \\ & \text { COST @ } \\ & 12 / 31 / 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2032/33 | TOTAL |
| Connection Hubs | RTS | 3,535,701 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,535,701 |
| Advanced Environmental Service Building | RTS | 500,197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$500,197 |
| Garage D Charging Depot | RTS | 528,416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$528,416 |
| RTS Access Facility | RTS Access | 25,941,895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,941,895 |
| RTS Genesee Facility | RTS Genesee | 736,820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$736,820 |
| RTS Seneca Facility | RTS Seneca | 3,188,299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,188,299 |
| RTS Wayne Facility Design | RTS Wayne | 187,465 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$187,465 |
| RTS Wyoming Facility Construction | RTS Wyoming | 5,084,973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,084,973 |
| TOTAL FACILITIES |  | \$39,703,766 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | \$ | \$39,703,766 |
| Maintenance Equipment | RTS | 448,064 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | \$1,448,064 |
| Replace Road Supervisor Cars | RTS | 131,400 |  |  | 143,584 | 98,595 | 253,881 | 156,898 |  |  | 171,447 | 117,727 | \$1,073,532 |
| Electric Bus Charging Infrastructure | RTS | 2,386,941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,386,941 |
| Electric Courier Car | RTS | 40,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,000 |
| Non-Revenue SUV | Regionals | 35,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$35,000 |
| Generator Replacement | RTS Livingston | 35,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$35,500 |
| TOTAL EQUIPMENT |  | \$3,076,905 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$243,584 | \$198,595 | \$353,881 | \$256,898 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$271,447 | \$217,727 | \$5,019,037 |


| 2023/24-2032/33 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT | COMPANY | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROJECTS IN } \\ \text { PROGRESS } \\ \text { REMAINING } \\ \text { COST @ } \\ 12 / 31 / 22 \end{gathered}$ | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2032/33 | TOTAL |
| Replace IT Hardware | RTS | 383,108 | 508,974 | 318,219 | 290,000 | 290,000 | 290,000 | 290,000 | 290,000 | 290,000 | 290,000 | 290,000 | \$3,530,301 |
| HRIS Replacement | RTS | 729,654 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$729,654 |
| On-Board Radio \& Antenna Replacement | RTS | 464,426 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$464,426 |
| Demand Response Software | RTS | 297,910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$297,910 |
| Mobile Ticketing | RTS \& Access | 1,207,820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,207,820 |
| TOTAL <br> TRANSPORTATION <br> TECHNOLOGIES |  | \$3,082,918 | \$508,974 | \$318,219 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$290,000 | \$6,230,111 |
| Transit Enhancement (Bus Shelters) | RTS | 349,577 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | 160,289 | \$1,952,465 |
| Bus Passenger Amenities | RTS | 720,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$720,500 |
| Replace Bus Shelter | RTS Genesee | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000 |
| Replace Bus Shelter | RTS Livingston | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000 |
| Replace 3 Bus Shelters | RTS Ontario | 60,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$60,000 |
| Replace Bus Shelter | RTS Seneca | 20,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000 |
| Replace 5 Bus Shelters | RTS Wayne | 100,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$100,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER |  | \$1,290,077 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$160,289 | \$2,892,965 |
| GRAND TOTAL |  | \$112,977,166 | \$35,171,920 | \$13,164,466 | \$32,739,812 | \$46,360,642 | \$28,433,034 | \$27,508,041 | \$8,678,909 | \$22,068,715 | \$24,984,427 | \$25,542,767 | \$377,629,898 |

## OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT

## VEHICLE REPLACEMENTS

Regular and on time replacement of the buses helps to keep operating costs stable, and maintains the reliability and quality of customer service. New buses require fewer parts and burn fuel more efficiently than older buses. Additionally, there is savings associated with reduced maintenance for newer buses for parts and labor. Finally, the incorporation of additional zero-emission buses in the fleet is anticipated to reduce fuel and maintenance costs.

## FACILITY PROJECTS

The completion of the new RTS Access Facility will likely increase utility costs due to the sizing of the facility over the current location. Increased efficiency and effectiveness of the operations will likely result in cost savings for the Authority. A formal analysis has not yet been performed to determine the total operating budget impact of the new facility.

The completion of the Connection Hubs will result in some additional expenses for their maintenance as well as utilities to provide real-time bus information.


## OPERATING BUDGET



CONSOLIDATED COMPARISON 2023-24 OPERATING BUDGET (000's)

|  | 2021-22 <br> ACTUAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2022-23 } \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-23 } \\ \text { PROJECTION* } \end{gathered}$ | 2023-24 <br> BUDGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VARIANCE } \\ & \text { 2022-23 } \\ & \text { PROJECTION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VARIANCE } \\ & \text { 2022-23 } \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | \% CHG BUDGET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Fares | \$ 4,961 | \$ 5,122 | \$ 5,122 | \$ 6,324 | \$ 1,201 | \$ 1,201 | 23.5\% |
| Special Transit Fares | \$ 9,537 | \$ 10,553 | \$ 10,553 | \$ 12,105 | \$ 1,552 | \$ 1,552 | 14.7\% |
| Other Revenues** | \$ 19,124 | \$ 21,219 | \$ 21,219 | \$ 20,839 | \$ (380) | \$ (380) | -1.8\% |
| tOTAL LOCALLY GENERATED REVENUES | \$ 33,622 | \$ 36,894 | \$ 36,894 | \$ 39,267 | \$ 2,373 | \$2,373 | 6.4 \% |
| Federal Aid | \$ 58,633 | \$ 14,975 | \$ 15,462 | \$ 15,227 | \$ (236) | \$ 251 | 1.7 \% |
| State Aid | \$ 46,858 | \$ 52,946 | \$ 53,832 | \$ 53,965 | \$ 133 | \$ 1,019 | 1.9 \% |
| County Aid | \$ 4,031 | \$ 3,910 | \$ 3,910 | \$ 3,910 | \$ - | \$ - | - |
| TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL SUBSIDIES | \$ 109,521 | \$ 71,831 | \$ 73,204 | \$ 73,101 | \$ (103) | \$1,270 | 1.8 \% |
| MORTGAGE RECORDING TAX | \$ 17,720 | \$ 11,846 | \$ 13,346 | \$ 12,143 | \$ 1,204 ) | \$ 296 | 2.5 \% |
| TOTAL REVENUES | \$160,863 | \$120,571 | \$123,444 | \$124,511 | \$ 1,067 | \$3,939 | 3.3 \% |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | \$ 54,598 | \$ 58,586 | \$ 57,585 | \$ 61,208 | \$ 3,623 | \$ 2,623 | 4.5 \% |
| Employee Benefits | \$ 27,115 | \$ 28,856 | \$ 28,780 | \$ 27,900 | \$ (879) | \$ (956) | -3.3\% |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL EXPENSES | \$ 81,713 | \$ 87,442 | \$ 86,365 | \$ 89,109 | \$ 2,744 | \$1,667 | 1.9 \% |
| Contracted Services | \$ 9,283 | \$ 10,695 | \$ 10,695 | \$ 11,573 | \$ 879 | \$ 879 | 8.2 \% |
| Fuel and Lubricants | \$ 5,008 | \$ 6,706 | \$ 6,706 | \$ 7,108 | \$ 402 | \$ 402 | 6.0 \% |
| Parts and Repairs | \$ 3,525 | \$ 4,499 | \$ 4,499 | \$ 4,612 | \$ 113 | \$ 113 | 2.5 \% |
| Other Materials and Supplies | \$ 1,463 | \$ 1,784 | \$ 1,784 | \$ 1,703 | \$ (80) | \$ (80) | -4.5\% |
| Utilities | \$ 1,250 | \$ 1,334 | \$ 1,334 | \$ 1,731 | \$ 398 | \$ 398 | 29.8\% |
| Casualty \& Liability | \$ 1,786 | \$ 2,504 | \$ 2,504 | \$ 2,672 | \$ 168 | \$ 168 | 6.7 \% |
| Taxes | \$ 57 | \$ 60 | \$ 60 | \$ 60 | \$ - | \$ - | 0.0 \% |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | \$ 366 | \$ 1,911 | \$ 1,911 | \$ 2,228 | \$ 317 | \$ 317 | 16.6\% |
| Lease and Rentals | \$ 438 | \$ 627 | \$ 627 | \$ 705 | \$ 79 | \$ 79 | 12.5\% |
| Depreciation (Local) | \$ 2,205 | \$ 3,013 | \$ 3,013 | \$ 3,009 | \$ (4) | \$ (4) | -0.1 \% |
| TOTAL NONPERSONNEL EXPENSES | \$ 25,381 | \$ 33,130 | \$ 33,130 | \$ 35,402 | \$ 2,272 | \$2,272 | 6.9 \% |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | \$107,094 | \$120,571 | \$119,494 | \$124,511 | \$ 5,016 | \$3,939 | 3.3 \% |
| NET INCOME (DEFICIT) BEFORE APPROPRIATION | \$ 53,770 | \$ - | \$ 3,949 | \$ - | \$(3,949) | \$ - |  |

[^2]**Includes use of Available Unrestricted Net Assets.
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| 50101-0100 | 18,794,662 | 21,679,765 | 14,284,508 | 20,879,765 | 22,233,073 | 553,308 | 2.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50101-0110 | 2,549,528 | 2,835,190 | 2,296,744 | 2,866,490 | 3,108,317 | 273,127 | 9.6\% |
| 50101-0120 | 487,602 | 418,520 | 522,259 | 633,720 | 721,424 | 302,904 | 72.4\% |
| 50101-0130 | 449,153 | 486,762 | 348,291 | 489,862 | 524,354 | 37,592 | 7.7\% |
| 50101-0170 | 51,074 | - | 20,432 | - | - | - | - |
| 50101-0180 | 178,000 | 154,900 | 115,972 | 154,900 | 287,700 | 132,800 | 85.7\% |
| 50104-0100 | 1,731,971 | 2,199,085 | 1,264,813 | 2,199,085 | 2,090,605 | $(108,480)$ | -4.9\% |
| 50104-0110 | 50,796 | 87,895 | 30,608 | 87,895 | 50,238 | $(37,657)$ | -42.8\% |
| 50104-0110 | 137 | - | 97 | - | - | - | - |
| 50104-0130 | 15 | - | 26 |  | - | - | - |
| 50104-0170 | 26,649 | - | 15,866 | - | - | - | - |
| 50106-0100 | 730,181 | 591,468 | 414,412 | 591,468 | 711,950 | 120,482 | 20.4\% |
| 50106-0110 | 1,626 | - | 698 | - | - | - | - |
| 50106-0170 | 2,532 | - | 12 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 25,053,926 | 28,453,585 | 19,314,737 | 27,903,185 | 29,727,661 | 1,274,076 | 4.5\% |
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| 2022-2023 OPERATING BUDGET DETAIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION | ACCOUNT | 2021-22 ACTUAL | $\begin{aligned} & 2022-23 \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-23 } \\ \text { ACTUAL THRU } \\ \text { 12/31/22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-23 } \\ \text { PROJECTION } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2023-24 \\ & \text { BUDGET } \end{aligned}$ | BUDGET CHANGE | \% CHANGE |
| Oth Sal \& Wage-Regular | 50102-0100 | 8,714,922 | 10,527,645 | 7,120,906 | 10,377,645 | 11,404,084 | 876,439 | 8.3\% |
| Oth Sal \& Wage-OT | 50102-0110 | 202,169 | 130,041 | 217,799 | 130,041 | 147,551 | 17,510 | 13.5\% |
| Oth Sal\& Wage-Car Allowance | 50102-0160 | 25,588 | 26,200 | 19,071 | 26,200 | 26,200 | - | - |
| Oth Sal \& Wage-Retro | 50102-0170 | 8,149 | - | 33,388 | - | - | - | - |
| Staff Performance Incentive | 50102-0190 | 297,776 | 200,000 | $(29,805)$ | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | - |
| Covid 19 Payout | 50102-0191 | 1,461,250 | - | - | - | , | - | - |
| Other Wage-Vacancy Factor | 50102-0195 | - | $(250,000)$ | - | $(250,000)$ | $(250,000)$ | - | - |
| Wage Radio Cntrl \& Disp-Regula | 50121-0100 | 1,087,625 | 1,299,623 | 894,209 | 1,299,623 | 1,373,191 | 73,568 | 5.7\% |
| Wage Radio Cntrl \& Disp-OT | 50121-0110 | 294,197 | 170,404 | 184,766 | 170,404 | 210,970 | 40,566 | 23.8\% |
| Wage Radio Cntrl \& Disp-Retro | 50121-0170 | - | - | 39,414 | - | - | - | - |
| Wage Garage Supvr-Regular | 50122-0100 | 895,122 | 993,524 | 684,380 | 993,524 | 1,040,512 | 46,988 | 4.7\% |
| Wage Garage Supvr-OT | 50122-0110 | 124,898 | 81,921 | 90,998 | 81,921 | 85,932 | 4,011 | 4.9\% |
| Wage Garage Supvr-Retro | 20122-0170 | - |  | 31,424 | - | - | - | - |
| Wage Road Supvr-Regular | 50123-0100 | 1,511,021 | 1,695,259 | 1,115,285 | 1,695,259 | 1,633,128 | $(62,131)$ | -3.7\% |
| Wage Road Supvr-OT | 50123-0110 | 168,612 | 151,341 | 161,103 | 151,341 | 183,997 | 32,656 | 21.6\% |
| Wage Road Supvr-Retro | 50123-0170 | 1,399 |  | 48,275 | - | - | - | - |
| Other Wage ATU-Regular | 50124-0100 | 94,383 | 95,430 | 71,616 | 95,430 | 100,753 | 5,323 | 5.6\% |
| Other Wage ATU-OT | 50124-0110 | 13,533 | 13,924 | 8,488 | 13,924 | 14,701 | 777 | 5.6\% |
| Total Other Wages |  | 14,900,647 | 15,135,312 | 10,691,317 | 14,985,312 | 16,171,019 | 1,035,707 | 6.8\% |
| Fringe Benefit-Sick Pay | 50209-0000 | 1,121,137 | 1,041,979 | 829,382 | 1,041,979 | 1,096,397 | 54,418 | 5.2\% |
| Fringe Benefit-Holiday Pay | 50210-0000 | 1,465,730 | 1,586,702 | 1,078,977 | 1,586,702 | 1,672,415 | 85,713 | 5.4\% |
| Vacation Pay | 50211-0230 | 2,294,393 | 2,284,565 | 1,878,796 | 2,284,565 | 2,411,940 | 127,375 | 5.6\% |
| PTO-Paid Time Off | 50211-0240 | 1,705,244 | 1,706,362 | 1,228,553 | 1,706,362 | 1,797,896 | 91,534 | 5.4\% |
| Fringe Benefit-Oth Pd Absence | 50212-0000 | 91,180 | 68,015 | 57,753 | 68,015 | 82,323 | 14,308 | 21.0\% |
| Oth Pd Absence-Covid 19 Sick | 50212-0250 | 515,395 | - | 225,045 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Wage Fringe |  | 7,193,079 | 6,687,623 | 5,298,506 | 6,687,623 | 7,060,971 | 373,348 | 5.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Wages |  | 54,598,067 | 58,585,591 | 41,039,291 | 57,585,191 | 61,208,429 | 2,622,838 | 4.5\% |
| FICA | 50201-0300 | 4,069,050 | 4,481,798 | 3,062,382 | 4,405,267 | 4,683,586 | 201,788 | 4.5\% |
| Pension Cont-Non Union | 50202-0305 | 1,649,028 | 1,431,000 | 293,725 | 1,431,000 | 1,397,000 | $(34,000)$ | -2.4\% |
| Pension Cont-Union | 50202-0306 | 1,295,992 | 1,220,633 | 914,844 | 1,220,633 | 1,367,725 | 147,092 | 12.1\% |
| Executive 457 Deferral | 50202-0308 | 50,827 | 52,500 | 39,441 | 52,500 | 55,000 | 2,500 | 4.8\% |
| Health Care-Active | 50203-0310 | 9,142,047 | 12,230,000 | 5,574,274 | 12,230,000 | 11,103,255 | $(1,126,745)$ | -9.2\% |
| Health Care-Retiree | 50203-0315 | 3,563,006 | 3,808,000 | 3,017,845 | 3,808,000 | 3,899,500 | 91,500 | 2.4\% |
| Vision Care-Active | 50203-0320 | 36,594 | 41,820 | 27,223 | 41,820 | 43,040 | 1,220 | 2.9\% |
| Vision Care-Retiree | 50203-0325 | 13,528 | 14,180 | 10,628 | 14,180 | 14,270 | 90 | 0.6\% |
| HRA-Health Reimb Acct | 50203-0330 | 891,251 | 1,102,000 | 719,497 | 1,102,000 | 1,140,500 | 38,500 | 3.5\% |
| Dental Plan-Active | 50204-0340 | 282,748 | 373,950 | 206,704 | 373,950 | 347,700 | $(26,250)$ | -7.0\% |
| Dental Plan-Retiree | 50204-0345 | 129,731 | 140,000 | 106,169 | 140,000 | 150,000 | 10,000 | 7.1\% |
| Group Life Pension Ins Group | 50205-0350 | 58,449 | 58,400 | 44,389 | 58,400 | 58,726 | 326 | 0.6\% |
| Group Life Insurance | 50205-0355 | 124,943 | 124,300 | 96,210 | 124,300 | 127,950 | 3,650 | 2.9\% |
| Short Term Dbl Ins Plan | 50206-0360 | 248,384 | 260,000 | 154,828 | 260,000 | 250,000 | $(10,000)$ | -3.8\% |
| State Unemployment Insurance | 50207-0000 | 30,000 | 118,720 | 718 | 118,720 | 118,720 | - | - |
| Workers Compensation | 50208-0370 | 3,049,360 | 399,000 | 92,155 | 399,000 | 330,000 | $(69,000)$ | -17.3\% |
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303,000 303,000
231,500 n
$\stackrel{N}{-}$
a 39,300
670,000 60,000
$3,183,223$
 34,000 $\begin{array}{ll}607,722 & 834,817 \\ 559,197 & 901,672\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\circ & 0 \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1\end{array}$
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 60,960

328,784 | 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 | 177,617

25,498 21,503 $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$


105,440 | $\circ$ |
| :--- |
| - |
| -1 |
| -1 | 303,000 231,500



39,300 670,000 60,000
$3,183,223$
 34,000

834,817 901,672
$1,167,876$
65,000

$\begin{array}{rr}\mathbf{1 8 2 , 4 8 3} & \mathbf{4 8 2 , 5 0} \\ \mathbf{9 , 2 8 2 , 6 3 8} & \mathbf{1 0}, 694,631\end{array}$

## 14,911 110,844

60,134 68,006 212,831 89,483
31,943 38,179 616,617 105,239 $2,691,284$
$1,431,066$ 462,107 478,201 $\begin{array}{r}794,821 \\ 814,665 \\ \hline 1,037,325\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lr}50308-0650 \\ 50399-0670 & 182,483\end{array}$

## 50303-0620

 50303-0622 $50303-0623$$50303-0624$
$50303-0625$ 50303-0626 $50303-0627$
$50303-0635$ 50303-0636 50304-0650 $50305-0641$
$50305-0642$ 50305-0642 $50305-0646$
$50305-0647$ 50305-647 $50305-0648$
$50306-0650$ 50307-0660

## $\begin{array}{rr}50502-0800 & 142,895 \\ 50502-0801 & 13,894\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{rr}142,895 & 136,300 \\ 13,894 & 1,000\end{array}$

## 136,300 185,700

49,400
11,000
163,000
$(2,000)$
59,000
8,000
17,700
62,000
$(22,000)$
14,000
1,800

185,700
25,000 533,000 4,000
192,000 49,000 43,500
137,000 32,000
 76,000
8,000

413,000 $\begin{array}{rrr}25,000 & 11,000 & 78.6 \% \\ 533,000 & 163,000 & 44.1 \%\end{array}$ $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{m}}{\text { m }}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { 寸 }}$ î̀
ì
$\underset{\sim}{\circ}$
0

 $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ํㅜㄴ }}$ 1,800 2.4\% 0,694,631
136,300 14,000 370,000
6,000 6,000
133,000 41,000 25,800
75,000 54,000 19,000 74,200 8,000
377,300 1,333,600
$\begin{array}{rrrr}1,509,827 & 1,650,904 & 141,077 & 9.3 \% \\ 747,000 & 780,000 & 33,000 & 4.4 \%\end{array}$
 141,077 오 우
-
ㅊ
N
N
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PERSONNEL CHANGE SUMMARY

|  | 2021-22 BUDGET | 2022-23 BUDGET | 2023-24 BUDGET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTS | 710 | 726 | 726 |
| RTS Access | 119 | 121 | 121 |
| RTS Genesee | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| RTS Livingston | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| RTS Ontario | 44 | 43 | 43 |
| RTS Orleans | 12 | 12 | 14 |
| RTS Seneca | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| RTS Wayne | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| RTS Wyoming | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| TOTAL | 1024 | 1042 | 1044 |

## FINANCIAL POLICIES



## FINANCIAL POLICIES

## BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

In conformance with generally accepted accounting principles, the Authority utilizes an accrual basis of accounting and budgeting, recognizing revenues when earned and expenses when the obligation is incurred. The Authority is engaged only in business-type activities and presents the financial statements required for enterprise funds. Enterprise funds are used to account for the activities of the various business units of the Authority, because Authority expenses are funded through a combination of self-generated revenues and various governmental subsidies provided by New York State, the federal government, and member counties.

In accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 62, codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance contained in preNovember 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements, the Authority applies all applicable Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements as well as Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) statement and interpretations issued on or before November 30, 1989, that do not conflict with GASB pronouncements. The Authority has elected not to apply FASB Standards issued after November 30, 1989.

## INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE

The Authority maintains an internal control system designed to ensure that its assets are protected from loss, theft, or misuse; and to ensure that adequate accounting data are compiled to allow for the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The internal control system is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that these objectives are met. The Board of Commissioners has designated the Chief Financial Officer to also act as Internal Control Officer. An annual program of internal control activities is conducted with oversight provided by the Audit Committee of the Board of Commissioners.

## INDEPENDENT AUDIT

On an annual basis, the Authority engages the services of an independent certified public accounting firm to conduct an independent audit and report for its end of fiscal year financial statements. The Audit Committee recommends the selection of the independent auditor(s) to the full Board of Commissioners and is responsible for oversight of the independent auditor.

## BUDGETARY CONTROL

The Authority's fiscal year runs from April 1 through March 31. The annual budget preparation process occurs within the development of the Authority's Annual Comprehensive Plan. Operating Plan goals and tactics for the coming year are formulated during August and refined as budget development progresses by the Executive and Leadership teams. Formal budget preparation packages are distributed in mid-September by the Budget Department to each department head of Regional Transit Service and to the managers of the other subsidiary companies.

Operating budget and capital project requests are due by mid-October. Each departmental request is closely analyzed utilizing a process aimed at breaking down the elements of each request; comparison with historical data and recognition of trends and external factors, economic or other that might impact the budget element. Budget staff also meet with department representatives to discuss and review their budget submissions as necessary.

Revenue estimates are subject to the same type of scrutiny and analysis. Documentation is prepared and retained for budget estimates
Over the course of December and January, the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) submits detailed and summary budget recommendations to the Executive Team. At this stage the budget contains only preliminary estimates of state operating assistance for the coming year, because the Governor's proposed Executive Budget is traditionally submitted to the state legislature in late January. The Authority's proposed budget is then completed and included in its Annual Comprehensive Plan, which is submitted by the CEO to the Board of Commissioners in February for review and adoption prior to the start of the fiscal year on April 1. The completed and approved budget complies with all relevant financial policies.

A balanced budget is achieved when the total of all estimated revenues in support of operations, plus appropriated net assets, if needed and available, equals total estimated operating expenses for the fiscal year.

Budgetary control is maintained at the department level. It is the responsibility of each department to administer its operations in a manner which ensures that the use of funds is consistent with the goals and programs authorized by the Board of Commissioners. An encumbrance accounting system is utilized for budgetary control; unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

On a monthly basis, the CFO submits a financial report to the Board of Commissioners which contains fiscal year to date results versus original budget and also projects fiscal year-end results versus the original budget plan as adopted by the Board. These monthly projections enable the Authority to respond in a prompt and orderly manner to changing factors in the business environment. No amendments to the original budget are enacted by the Board unless a major programmatic modification(s) is necessary. The budget amendment process requires the submission of an amendment request by the CEO to the Board for its approval by resolution.

## FINANCIAL PLAN CALENDAR

| MONTH | ACTIVITY |
| :---: | :---: |
| August | - Leadership Team meeting to review issues and programs for inclusion in Strategic, Operating, and Financial plans for the coming fiscal year. <br> - Budget Team updates, as necessary, all budget preparation protocols, documents, and system changes. <br> - Budget staff updates Multi-Year Budget Projection. |
| September | - Distribution of Capital Project Request packages to department heads. <br> - Distribution of Operating Budget preparation packages to department heads. |
| October | - Submission of Capital Project requests to Budget Team. <br> - Budget Team estimates all revenue and expense accounts within its scope of responsibility. <br> - Submission of Operating Budget requests to Budget Team. |
| November | - Budget Team reviews and meets with each department head to review budget request, if necessary. |
| December | - Budget Team concludes analysis and recommendations. <br> - CFO communicates recommendations to Executive Management Team. <br> - Executive Management Team reviews recommendations with departments. <br> - Executive Management Team finalizes budget. |
| January | - Budget Team prepares information for the development of Financial Plan section of Comprehensive Plan. <br> - Budget staff updates Multi-Year Budget Projection. <br> - Governor submits proposed Executive Budget to the Legislature, thereby providing notice to the Authority of the proposed amount of State Operating Assistance for the coming fiscal year. <br> - Finalization of budget for inclusion in the Comprehensive Plan. |
| February | - CEO submits Comprehensive Plan to the Board of Commissioners for review and comment. <br> - Employee meeting to review Comprehensive Plan. |
| March | - Board of Commissioners approves the Comprehensive Plan. |
| April | - Fiscal year begins April 1. |

## FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION

## COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING

As noted previously, the Authority annually adopts a Comprehensive Plan, which contains its Strategic Plan, Operating Plan, Financial Plan, and Performance Goals for the coming fiscal year. The Strategic Plan identifies the strategy of the Authority in support of the intent. The Operating Plan outlines the specific objectives and projects to be undertaken in the coming year to advance the strategies. The Financial Plan is then developed in the form of an annual Operating Budget and Ten-Year Capital Plan, which supports the objectives of both the Strategic and Operating Plans. Performance metrics are managed through a complete scorecard approach called TOPS (Transit Organization Performance Scorecard) which tracks the Authority's progress in the attainment of both financial and non-financial goals. TOPS metrics are reported to the Board of Commissioners and to the community on a quarterly basis.

The Authority also maintains a Multi-Year Budget Projection that extends three fiscal years beyond the current year. Using historical data, trends, known and estimated operating revenue and expense factors based on management's judgment, the Multi-Year Budget Projection identifies projected annual net income or deficits from operations, inclusive of governmental subsidies. It is used as a planning tool to identify potential future fiscal challenges and opportunities to assist decision making by the Board and management. The multi-year projection is updated on a semi-annual basis to ensure that it remains current and relevant.

## CASH MANAGEMENT

The Authority pursues an active cash management and investment program in order to maximize investment earnings. Available cash balances are invested in various types of low risk investments in accordance with appropriate provisions of law and investment guidelines approved by the Board of Commissioners. The Finance/ Investment Committee of the Board assists the Board in its general oversight of investment activities.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

Utilizing an internal staff of both legal and claims management professionals, the risk management program is structured to both minimize and manage risk through a combination of purchased insurance, self-insurance, rigorous claims management, and the promotion of safety conscious behaviors.

Formalized policy and procedures have been established for the monitoring, supervision, related proceedings, and settlement of casualty losses, and employment related claims and litigation.

With regard to insurance protection, automobile liability claims are self-insured to a limit of $\$ 1.5$ million. Losses in excess of that amount are protected by umbrella insurance coverage with limits of $\$ 15$ million. While liability losses are normally paid with operating funds, a self-insurance reserve fund is maintained for significant losses.

The Authority is self-insured for Workers' Compensation losses and medical claims; these costs are paid with operating funds. In regard to medical claims the Authority has both Specific and Aggregate Stop Loss policies with retention points of $\$ 200,000$ and $125 \%$ of aggregate costs, respectively. Blanket insurance coverage is maintained for property and equipment. In addition, the Authority has insurance to protect against internal losses and Directors and Officers liability.

Use of the Authority's Self Insurance Reserve Fund for the settlement of liability claims requires approval from the Board of Commissioners.

As a transportation provider consuming approximately 1.5 million gallons of fuel each year, fuel price volatility is a major concern for the Authority. Accordingly, a formal policy to guide the use of price risk management tools such as fixed price swaps and forward pricing contracts has been adopted by the Board and is managed under the direction of the Chief Financial Officer.

## CAPITAL RESERVE

Annually, the Authority is the recipient of a formula based grant from the United States Department of Transportation, which is primarily directed towards capital investments. This grant requires a local match of $20 \%$, of which $50 \%$ is drawn from the Authority's Capital Reserve Fund and $50 \%$ provided by the New York State Department of Transportation. The Capital Reserve Fund is itself funded annually by a Board authorized transfer from Working Capital in an amount equal to the depreciation expense contained in the current fiscal year budget. The Board of Commissioners may also authorize additional contributions to the Capital Reserve as recommended by the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers. The Capital Reserve Fund also provides $100 \%$ funding for capital projects when authorized by the Board of Commissioners.

## DEBT MANAGEMENT

Pursuant to law, the Authority has the power to issue debt to achieve its purposes, subject to the approval of the State Comptroller. A formal Debt Policy has been adopted by the Board of Commissioners which contains guidelines for the evaluation, issuance, management, and reporting of debt. The Finance/Investment Committee represents and assists the Board in its oversight of borrowing activities. The Authority has not issued debt and does not have a legal debt limit.

## PENSION BENEFITS

The Authority sponsors four separate defined benefit plans to provide pension benefits for various groups of employees among its subsidiary companies.
Annually, an independent actuarial firm prepares a formal valuation report for each plan that includes a calculation of the annual required contribution necessary to ensure that each plan will be able to fully meet its obligations to retirees. The Authority generally funds $100 \%$ of the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for each of the various pension plans.
The Authority also participates in the New York State Employees Retirement System (NYSLERS), which is administered by the Office of the New York State Comptroller. The Authority makes annual contributions to the NYSLERS as determined by the State Comptroller.

## OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

The Authority provides other post-employment benefits for retirees hired before August 4, 2016, such as health, life, and dental insurance. As of March 31, 2022, there were 394 active participants. The total actuarial accrued liability for OPEB as of March 31, 2022 was $\$ 126.4$ million.
The Authority's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for OPEB is an actuarially determined amount. Presently, the Authority funds only the current annual cost of insurance premiums for retirees and accrues the balance of the ARC for its Financial Statements as a Net OPEB Obligation.
The New York State legislature has not yet passed legislation to enable public benefit corporations to establish a qualifying irrevocable trust for the purpose of funding future OPEB benefits.


# PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS 



## 2023-2024 TRANSIT ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE SCORECARD (TOPS)

The Transit Organization Performance Scorecard (TOPS) is the tool RGRTA uses to measure, monitor, and report, with full transparency, its overall performance as it relates to its progress in achieving the goals that were outlined at the beginning of the fiscal year. This snapshot of the Authority's performance allows for quick response and focused effort to make adjustments as needed. In a very simple and clear way, TOPS conveys how every action taken and every decision made by every employee impacts and contributes to RGRTA's success.
For the 2023-24 fiscal year, we will measure 22 metrics within the four strategic pillars of TOPS, consistent with the metrics identified and monitored during the previous fiscal year. TOPS is measured by the Financial Performance Index (FPI), Customer Service Index (CSI), and Service Performance Index (SPI) in all four quarters. Additionally, employees are surveyed in quarters 2 and 4. The results of this survey comprise the Employee Engagement Index (EEI). Each metric will be awarded points on a continuous scale.

The performance indexes and their critical success factors for the 2023-24 fiscal year are displayed below by quarter.


Quarter 1: April 1 - June 30, 2023
Pillar Weighting:
FPI $=20$ Points
CSI $=40$ Points
SPI $=40$ Points
Success Indicators:
In the first quarter, RGRTA will measure the FPI, CSI, and SPI. The FPI will measure the End of Year Net Income Projection. The CSI will measure the Net Promoter Score for RTS Monroe, and customer satisfaction for RTS Access and the Regional Operations. The SPI will measure On-Time Performance (OTP) for all RTS properties.

| $\text { RTS } \square$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRANSIT ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE SCORECARD <br> 2023-2024 • SECOND QUARTER |  |  |
| KEV RESUUTS | Success indicators | Goal points |
| Financial Sustainability | End of Year Net Income | 20 |
| Customer Satisfaction | Net Promoter Score (RTS in Monroe) Customer Satisfaction (RTS Access \& Regionals) | 30 |
| Service Quality | On-Time Performance | 40 |
| Employee <br> Engagement | Employee Engagement \& Satisfaction | 10 |
|  |  | GOAL 100 |

Quarter 2: July 1 - September 30, 2023
Pillar Weighting:
FPI $=20$ Points
CSI = 30 Points
SPI $=40$ Points
EEI $=10$ Points
Success Indicators:
In the second quarter, RGRTA will measure the FPI, CSI, SPI, and EEI. The FPI will measure the End of Year Net Income Projection. The CSI will measure the Net Promoter Score for RTS Monroe, and customer satisfaction for RTS Access and the Regional Operations. The SPI will measure On-Time Performance (OTP) at all RTS properties. The EEI will measure employee engagement and satisfaction through the first employee survey of the fiscal year.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRANSIT ORGANIZATION PERFORMANCE SCORECARD 2023-2024 • THIRD QUARTER |  |  |
| Ker resulis | success nolcators | GOAL Point |
| Financial Sustainability | End of Year Net Income | 20 |
| Customer Satisfaction | Net Promoter Score (RTS in Monroe) Customer Satisfaction RTS Access \& Regionals) | 40 |
| Service Quality | On-Time Pefformance | 40 |
| Employee Engagement | $\substack{\text { Empopogeee } \\ \text { Engaisfation } \\ \text { Castition }}$ | - |
|  |  | GOAL 100 |

Quarter 3: October 1 - December 31, 2023
Pillar Weighting:
FPI $=20$ Points
CSI $=40$ Points
SPI $=40$ Points
Success Indicators:
In the third quarter, RGRTA will measure the FPI, CSI, and SPI.
The FPI will measure the End of Year Net Income Projection. The CSI will measure the Net Promoter Score for RTS Monroe, and customer satisfaction for RTS Access and the Regional Operations. The SPI will measure On-Time Performance (OTP) for all RTS properties.


Quarter 4: January 1 - March 31, 2024
Pillar Weighting:
FPI $=20$ Points
CSI = 30 Points
SPI $=40$ Points
EEI = 10 Points

Success Indicators:
In the fourth quarter, RGRTA will measure the FPI, CSI, SPI, and EEI. The FPI will measure the End of Year Net Income Projection. The CSI will measure the Net Promoter Score for RTS Monroe, and customer satisfaction for RTS Access and the Regional Operations. The SPI will measure On-Time Performance for all RTS properties. The EEI will measure employee engagement and satisfaction through the second employee survey of the fiscal year.

## 2022-23 TOPS REVIEW

For the 2022-23 fiscal year, we measured our performance in an environment where employees and customers have adjusted to the new transit system, and we have identified the best metrics to track moving forward based on the operation of the new system. With that adjustment period complete and new metrics in place, the Authority exceeded its TOPS goal of 100 in each of the first three quarters. Nearly all of the pillars of our TOPS scorecard have exceeded their goal for each period measured. At the time of this plan being developed, we are on track to meet or exceed our goal for the fourth quarter.

The Financial Performance Index, measured by the projected net income (deficit); the Customer Service Index, measured by NPS; the Service Performance Index, measured by On-Time Performance and RTS On Demand ride fulfillment; and the Employee Engagement Index, measured by engaged employees, combined to result in the TOPS score.

## CONCLUSION

TOPS provides the Board of Commissioners, employees, customers, and the community with an industry leading measurement system that is the hallmark of the Authority's success. RGRTA continues to use this measurement instrument to be a leader in the industry as demonstrated by its economic stability, excellent customer service, and low fares. The Authority can only achieve its intent of being an innovative transportation provider and a climate leader in our industry by improving in areas that are key to the organization's success. The only way of implementing improvements is by measuring those areas. TOPS provides the means to keep track of the organization's performance and helps the business make the necessary adjustments to achieve the intent.

The comprehensive analysis provided by TOPS allows management and the Board to monitor the Authority's overall performance, and make timely and informed decisions. Success is going to be focused on what is of great importance to the organization: End of Year Net Income Projection, the satisfaction of our customers across the service area, excellent service performance, and Employee Engagement. It provides clarity for our employees, focuses their attention, and directs their efforts. It also makes it clear for the Board and the community on how to hold RGRTA accountable.

TOPS DETAILS QUARTER 1

| STRATEGIC PILLARS | METRIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLAN } \\ & \text { GOAL } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL | POINTS <br> EARNED | GOAL <br> POINTS | MAX POINTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY | End of Year Net Income Projection | 0 |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
|  | TOTAL FPI SCORE |  |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
| CUSTOMER <br> SATISFACTION | Customer Satisfaction - RTS | 35.0\% |  |  | 37.20 | 48.36 | 26.04 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Genesee | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Livingston | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Ontario | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Seneca | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Wayne | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Wyoming | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | TOTAL CSI SCORE |  |  |  | 40.00 | 52.00 | 28.00 |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance - RTS | 91.5\% |  |  | 36.00 | 46.80 | 25.20 |
|  | Ride Fulfillment - On Demand |  |  |  | Measured not scored |  |  |
|  | On-Time Performance - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Genesee | 94.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Livingston | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Ontario | 93.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Seneca | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wayne | 96.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wyoming | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | TOTAL SPI SCORE |  |  |  | 40.00 | 52.00 | 28.00 |
| TOPS SCORE |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 130.0 | 70.0 |

TOPS DETAILS QUARTER 2

| STRATEGIC PILLARS | METRIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLAN } \\ & \text { GOAL } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL | POINTS <br> EARNED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOAL } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINANCIAL | End of Year Net Income Projection | 0 |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
| SUSTAINABILITY | TOTAL FPI SCORE |  |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
| CUSTOMER | Customer Satisfaction - RTS | 35.0\% |  |  | 27.92 | 36.30 | 19.54 |
| SA | Customer Satisfaction - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Genesee | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Livingston | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Ontario | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Seneca | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Wayne | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Wyoming | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | TOTAL CSI SCORE |  |  |  | 30.00 | 39.00 | 21.00 |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance - RTS | 91.5\% |  |  | 36.00 | 46.80 | 25.20 |
|  | Ride Fulfillment - On Demand |  |  |  | Measured not scored |  |  |
|  | On-Time Performance - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Genesee | 94.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Livingston | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Ontario | 93.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Seneca | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wayne | 96.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wyoming | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | TOTAL SPI SCORE |  |  |  | 40.00 | 52.00 | 28.00 |
| EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT | Employee Engagement | 28.0\% |  |  | 5.00 | 6.50 | 3.50 |
|  | Employee Satisfaction | 4 |  |  | 5.00 | 6.50 | 3.50 |
|  | TOTAL EEI SCORE |  |  |  | 10.00 | 13.00 | 7.00 |
| TOPS SCORE |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 130.0 | 70.0 |

TOPS DETAILS QUARTER 3

| STRATEGIC PILLARS | METRIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLAN } \\ & \text { GOAL } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL | POINTS <br> EARNED | GOAL POINTS <br> PONT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY | End of Year Net Income Projection | 0 |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
|  | TOTAL FPI SCORE |  |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
| CUSTOMER SATISFACTION | Customer Satisfaction - RTS | 35.0\% |  |  | 37.20 | 48.36 | 26.04 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Genesee | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Livingston | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Ontario | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Seneca | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Wayne | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - RTS Wyoming | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.25 |
|  | TOTAL CSI SCORE |  |  |  | 40.00 | 52.00 | 28.00 |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance - RTS | 91.5\% |  |  | 36.00 | 46.80 | 25.20 |
|  | Ride Fulfillment - On Demand |  |  |  | Measured not scored |  |  |
|  | On-Time Performance - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Genesee | 94.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Livingston | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Ontario | 93.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Seneca | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wayne | 96.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wyoming | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | TOTAL SPI SCORE |  |  |  | 40.00 | 52.00 | 28.00 |
| TOPS SCORE |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 130.0 | 70.0 |

TOPS DETAILS QUARTER 4

| STRATEGIC PILLARS | METRIC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLAN } \\ & \text { GOAL } \end{aligned}$ | ACTUAL | POINTS <br> EARNED | GOAL POINTS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { POINTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FINANCIAL | End of Year Net Income | 0 |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
| SUSTAINABILITY | TOTAL FPI SCORE |  |  |  | 20.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 |
| CUSTOMER | Customer Satisfaction - RTS | 35.0\% |  |  | 27.92 | 36.30 | 19.54 |
| SATISFACTION | Customer Satisfaction - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Genesee | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Livingston | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Ontario | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Seneca | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Wayne | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction - Wyoming | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.18 |
|  | TOTAL CSI SCORE |  |  |  | 30.00 | 39.00 | 21.00 |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance - RTS | 91.5\% |  |  | 36.00 | 46.80 | 25.20 |
|  | Ride Fulfillment - On Demand |  |  |  | Measured not scored |  |  |
|  | On-Time Performance - Access | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Genesee | 94.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Livingston | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Ontario | 93.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Orleans | 95.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Seneca | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wayne | 96.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | On-Time Performance - Wyoming | 97.0\% |  |  | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.35 |
|  | TOTAL SPI SCORE |  |  |  | 40.00 | 52.00 | 28.00 |
| EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT | Employee Engagement | 28.0\% |  |  | 5.00 | 6.50 | 3.50 |
|  | Employee Satisfaction | 4 |  |  | 5.00 | 6.50 | 3.50 |
|  | TOTAL EEI SCORE |  |  |  | 10.00 | 13.00 | 7.00 |
| TOPS SCORE |  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 130.0 | 70.0 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY | End of Year Net Income Projection | \$(365) | \$(304) | \$(243) | \$(183) | \$(122) | \$(61) | \$ - | \$61 | \$122 | \$183 | \$243 | \$304 | \$365 |
|  |  | 14.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 18.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 21.00 | 22.00 | 23.00 | 24.00 | 25.00 | 26.00 |
| CUSTOMER <br> SATISFACTION | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> RTS | 26.0\% | 27.5\% | 29.0\% | 30.5\% | 32.0\% | 33.5\% | 35.0\% | 36.5\% | 38.0\% | 39.5\% | 41.0\% | 42.5\% | 44.0\% |
|  |  | 26.04 | 27.90 | 29.76 | 31.62 | 33.48 | 35.34 | 37.20 | 39.06 | 40.92 | 42.78 | 44.64 | 46.50 | 48.36 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Access | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Genesee | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Livingston | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Orleans | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Seneca | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wayne | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wyoming | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 1 CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance RTS Connect | 89.0\% | 89.4\% | 89.8\% | 90.3\% | 90.7\% | 91.1\% | 91.5\% | 91.9\% | 92.3\% | 92.8\% | 93.2\% | 93.6\% | 94.0\% |
|  |  | 25.20 | 27.00 | 28.80 | 30.60 | 32.40 | 34.20 | 36.00 | 37.80 | 39.60 | 41.40 | 43.20 | 45.00 | 46.80 |
|  | On Demand Ride Fulfillment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Access | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Genesee | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Livingston | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.5\% | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Orleans | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Seneca | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wayne | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wyoming | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY | End of Year Net Income Projection | \$(365) | \$(304) | \$(243) | \$(183) | \$(122) | \$(61) | \$ - | \$61 | \$122 | \$183 | \$243 | \$304 | \$365 |
|  |  | 14.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 18.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 21.00 | 22.00 | 23.00 | 24.00 | 25.00 | 26.00 |
| CUSTOMER <br> SATISFACTION | Customer <br> Satisfaction - RTS | 26.0\% | 27.5\% | 29.0\% | 30.5\% | 32.0\% | 33.5\% | 35.0\% | 36.5\% | 38.0\% | 39.5\% | 41.0\% | 42.5\% | 44.0\% |
|  |  | 19.54 | 20.94 | 22.34 | 23.73 | 25.13 | 26.52 | 27.92 | 29.32 | 30.71 | 32.11 | 33.50 | 34.90 | 36.30 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction Access | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Genesee | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Livingston | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction Orleans | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Seneca | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wayne | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wyoming | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 2 CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance RTS Connect | 89.0\% | 89.4\% | 89.8\% | 90.3\% | 90.7\% | 91.1\% | 91.5\% | 91.9\% | 92.3\% | 92.8\% | 93.2\% | 93.6\% | 94.0\% |
|  |  | 25.20 | 27.00 | 28.80 | 30.60 | 32.40 | 34.20 | 36.00 | 37.80 | 39.60 | 41.40 | 43.20 | 45.00 | 46.80 |
|  | On Demand Ride Fulfillment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Access | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Genesee | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Livingston | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.5\% | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Orleans | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Seneca | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wayne | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wyoming | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
| EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT | Employee Engagement | 16.0\% | 18.0\% | 20.0\% | 22.0\% | 24.0\% | 26.0\% | 28.0\% | 30.0\% | 32.0\% | 34.0\% | 36.0\% | 38.0\% | 40.0\% |
|  |  | 3.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.25 | 5.50 | 5.75 | 6.00 | 6.25 | 6.50 |
|  | Employee <br> Satisfaction | 3.50 | 3.58 | 3.67 | 3.75 | 3.83 | 3.92 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.17 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 3.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.25 | 5.50 | 5.75 | 6.00 | 6.25 | 6.50 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY | End of Year Net Income Projection | \$(365) | \$(304) | \$(243) | \$(183) | \$(122) | \$(61) | \$ - | \$61 | \$122 | \$183 | \$243 | \$304 | \$365 |
|  |  | 14.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 18.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 21.00 | 22.00 | 23.00 | 24.00 | 25.00 | 26.00 |
| CUSTOMER SATISFACTION | Customer <br> Satisfaction - RTS | 26.0\% | 27.5\% | 29.0\% | 30.5\% | 32.0\% | 33.5\% | 35.0\% | 36.5\% | 38.0\% | 39.5\% | 41.0\% | 42.5\% | 44.0\% |
|  |  | 26.04 | 27.90 | 29.76 | 31.62 | 33.48 | 35.34 | 37.20 | 39.06 | 40.92 | 42.78 | 44.64 | 46.50 | 48.36 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction Access | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction Genesee | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction Livingston | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction Orleans | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Seneca | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wayne | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wyoming | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 3 CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance RTS Connect | 89.0\% | 89.4\% | 89.8\% | 90.3\% | 90.7\% | 91.1\% | 91.5\% | 91.9\% | 92.3\% | 92.8\% | 93.2\% | 93.6\% | 94.0\% |
|  |  | 25.20 | 27.00 | 28.80 | 30.60 | 32.40 | 34.20 | 36.00 | 37.80 | 39.60 | 41.40 | 43.20 | 45.00 | 46.80 |
|  | Ride Fulfillment On Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Access | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Genesee | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Livingston | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.5\% | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Orleans | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Seneca | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wayne | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wyoming | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |


| TOPS MATRIX QUARTER 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY | End of Year Net Income | \$(365) | \$(304) | \$(243) | \$(183) | \$(122) | \$(61) | \$ - | \$61 | \$122 | \$183 | \$243 | \$304 | \$365 |
|  |  | 14.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 18.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 21.00 | 22.00 | 23.00 | 24.00 | 25.00 | 26.00 |
| CUSTOMER SATISFACTION | Customer <br> Satisfaction - RTS | 26.0\% | 27.5\% | 29.0\% | 30.5\% | 32.0\% | 33.5\% | 35.0\% | 36.5\% | 38.0\% | 39.5\% | 41.0\% | 42.5\% | 44.0\% |
|  |  | 19.54 | 20.94 | 22.34 | 23.73 | 25.13 | 26.52 | 27.92 | 29.32 | 30.71 | 32.11 | 33.50 | 34.90 | 36.30 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction Access | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Genesee | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Livingston | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer Satisfaction Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Orleans | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Seneca | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wayne | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | Customer <br> Satisfaction - <br> Wyoming | 90.0\% | 90.8\% | 91.7\% | 92.5\% | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.7\% | 97.5\% | 98.3\% | 99.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 |


| TOPS MATRIX OUARTER 4 CONTINUED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BELOW GOAL |  |  |  |  |  | GOAL \& POINTS | ABOVE GOAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRATEGIC PILLAR | METRIC | -30.0\% | -25.0\% | -20.0\% | -15.0\% | -10.0\% | -5.0\% |  | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0\% | 20.0\% | 25.0\% | 30.0\% |
| SERVICE QUALITY | On-Time Performance RTS Connect | 89.0\% | 89.4\% | 89.8\% | 90.3\% | 90.7\% | 91.1\% | 91.5\% | 91.9\% | 92.3\% | 92.8\% | 93.2\% | 93.6\% | 94.0\% |
|  |  | 25.20 | 27.00 | 28.80 | 30.60 | 32.40 | 34.20 | 36.00 | 37.80 | 39.60 | 41.40 | 43.20 | 45.00 | 46.80 |
|  | Ride Fulfillment On Demand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Access | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Genesee | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Livingston | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Ontario | 90.0\% | 90.5\% | 91.0\% | 91.5\% | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time Performance Orleans | 92.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Seneca | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wayne | 93.0\% | 93.5\% | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
|  | On-Time <br> Performance - <br> Wyoming | 94.0\% | 94.5\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 96.0\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 98.0\% | 98.5\% | 99.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
| EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT | Employee <br> Engagement | 16.0\% | 18.0\% | 20.0\% | 22.0\% | 24.0\% | 26.0\% | 28.0\% | 30.0\% | 32.0\% | 34.0\% | 36.0\% | 38.0\% | 40.0\% |
|  |  | 3.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.25 | 5.50 | 5.75 | 6.00 | 6.25 | 6.50 |
|  | Employee Satisfaction | 3.50 | 3.58 | 3.67 | 3.75 | 3.83 | 3.92 | 4 | 4.08 | 4.17 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.50 |
|  |  | 3.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.25 | 5.50 | 5.75 | 6.00 | 6.25 | 6.50 |

## SERVICE STANDARDS

Each year, the Board of Commissioners adopts measurements that set and measure the goals with respect to desired Service Standards which the Board directs the Authority to work toward achieving. Below are those standards for Regional Transit Service, Inc.

## 2023-24 RTS SERVICE STANDARDS

| METRIC | GOAL | MEASUREMENT DEFINITION |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| On-Time <br> Performance | $88.0 \%$ | The percentage of total time points encountered inside the specified <br> parameters of 2:00 minutes early to 5:00 minutes late. |
| Percent Early | $2.5 \%$ | The percentage of total time points encountered earlier than the <br> specified parameter of 2:00 minutes early. |
| Cleanliness of <br> Buses and Shelters | $67.0 \%$ | Data comes from an independent third party satisfaction survey asking on <br> a scale from 1 to 10 if the respondents are satisfied with the cleanliness. <br> It is calculated as the sum of the percentages of respondents in the top 4 <br> satisfaction levels (7-10). |
| Pass-Ups | 2.0 | It is the daily average of the total number of occurrences per day where <br> customers were passed up resulting from a demand in excess of capacity <br> where the following bus was more than five (5) minutes away. |
| Missed Trips | 0.01 | It is the daily average of occurrences per day resulting from missing a <br> whole trip either from downtown to the end of the line or the end of the <br> line to the RTS Transit Center. |

## Bus Operator <br> 80.0\%

 Customer ServiceData comes from an independent third party satisfaction survey asking, on a scale from 1 to 10, five different questions on Bus Operator performance. It is calculated as the equally weighted average of those five scores from the top 4 satisfaction levels (7-10).

## Customer Satisfaction

The Net Promoter Score (NPS) which is calculated by an independent third party survey firm by taking the percentage of promoters (9-10 on a 0-10 scale) less the percentage of detractors ( $0-6$ on a $0-10$ scale).

## APPENDIX



## FARE STRUCTURE

|  | ACTUAL | EFFECTIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTS MONROE | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH: |  |  |
| Base Fare | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| FIXED ROUTE FARE: |  |  |
| One Ride Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| VALUE PASSES (RTS CONNECT \& RTS ON DEMAND)*: |  |  |
| All-Day Unlimited Pass | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.00 |
| Adult 31 Day Unlimited Pass | \$56.00 | \$56.00 |
| CHILDREN UNDER AGE 11**: |  |  |
| Children Ages 6-10 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.50 |
| Children Ages 5 and under (Limit of 3 children per fare-paying adult) | Free | Free |
| SENIORS 65+/DISABLED VALUE PASSES: |  |  |
| Senior/Disabled 1 Ride | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.50 |
| Senior/Disabled One-Day Unlimited* | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.50 |
| Senior/Disabled Unlimited, 31 Consecutive Days* | \$28.00 | \$28.00 |
| VETERANS: |  |  |
| All RTS Connect and RTS On Demand Rides | Free | Free |
| RTS ON DEMAND***: |  |  |
| Connect to or from an RTS Bus Stop | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Curb-to-Curb within the zone | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.00 |

[^4]
## FARE STRUCTURE

|  | ACTUAL | EFFECTIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTS ACCESS | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| Required Service Area | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Supplemental Area 1 | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Supplemental Area 2 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 4.00 |
| Supplemental Area 3 | \$ 8.00 | \$ 8.00 |
| Same Day Requests (additional charge) | \$ 6.00 | \$ 6.00 |
| RTS GENESEE | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH:* |  |  |
| Base Route Fare | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride Countywide City of Batavia | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 3.00 \\ \$ & 2.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ 3.00 \\ \$ & 2.00 \end{array}$ |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$10.00 | \$10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |
| RTS LIVINGSTON | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH:** |  |  |
| Base Route Fare | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Geneseo/Marketplace/Eastview Shuttle | \$ 6.50 | \$ 6.50 |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$10.00 | \$10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |

[^5]
## FARE STRUCTURE

|  | ACTUAL | EFFECTIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTS ONTARIO | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH: |  |  |
| Base Route Fare* | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride within Zone 1 or 2 | \$ 5.00 | \$ 5.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride between Zone 1 or 2 | \$ 10.00 | \$ 10.00 |
| Geneva/Phelps to Rochester | \$ 15.00 | \$ 15.00 |
| Clifton Springs/Hopewell/Canandaigua to Rochester | \$ 12.50 | \$ 12.50 |
| Shortsville/Manchester/Farmington/Victor to Rochester | \$ 10.00 | \$ 10.00 |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$ 10.00 | \$ 10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$ 20.00 | \$ 20.00 |
| Monthly Route Pass | \$ 30.00 | \$ 30.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride Passes | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 5.00 \\ \$ & 25.00 \\ \$ 50.00 \\ \$ 100.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 5.00 \\ \$ & 25.00 \\ \$ & 50.00 \\ \$ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
| RTS ORLEANS | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH:** |  |  |
| Base Route Fare | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.00 |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$ 10.00 | \$ 10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$ 20.00 | \$ 20.00 |

[^6]All regionals offer children under 5 free fare on regular service when accompanied by a fare-paying adult.

FARE STRUCTURE

|  | ACTUAL | EFFECTIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTS SENECA | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH:* |  |  |
| Base Route Fare | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride | \$ 3.00 | \$ 3.00 |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$10.00 | \$10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |
| RTS WAYNE | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH: |  |  |
| Base Route Fare** | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Dial-A-Ride (Seniors) | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.50 |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$10.00 | \$10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |
| RTS WYOMING | 2022-2023 | 2023-2024 |
| CASH:*** |  |  |
| Base Route Fare** | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Route Deviation | \$ 2.00 | \$ 2.00 |
| Veterans | Free | Free |
| PASSES: |  |  |
| \$1 Pass | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| \$11 Value | \$10.00 | \$10.00 |
| \$23 Value | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |

*Half Fare for Seniors and Disabled Persons, except on Route Deviation service.
**Half Fare for Seniors and Disabled Persons.
***Unlimited ride pass purchases are subject to a maximum monthly purchase of 50 passes per organization. Organizations needing more than 50 passes per month require an agreement with RTS to ensure sufficient bus capacity is available for the intended purpose(s) of the passes. Cost for additional passes will be actual cost to provide the necessary capacity, or the unit cost of the passes, whichever is greater.
All regionals offer children under 5 free fare on regular service when accompanied by a fare-paying adult.


[^0]:    *Actual
    **Projected/Budgeted

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rounding may cause mathematical errors.
    ${ }^{2}$ As of December 31, 2022.
    ${ }^{3}$ Locally generated revenues includes use of estimated available unrestricted net assets.

[^2]:    *As of December 31, 2022.

[^3]:    Diesel Fuel-Revenue Vehicles Unleaded Fuel-NonRev Vehicles

    Motor Oil
    Trans Fluid \& Other Lubricants Hydrogen Fuel

    ## Total Fuel \& Lubricants

[^4]:    *Unlimited ride pass purchases are subject to a maximum monthly purchase of 50 passes per organization. Organizations needing more than 50 passes per month require an agreement with RTS to ensure sufficient bus capacity is available for the intended purpose(s) of the passes. Cost for additional passes will be actual cost to provide the necessary capacity, or the unit cost of the passes, whichever is greater.
    **Children 10 and under must be accompanied by an adult.
    ***All RTS On Demand rides must be requested by phone, mobile app, or RTS website.

[^5]:    *Half Fare for Seniors and Disabled Persons, except on Route Deviation service.
    **Half Fare for Seniors and Disabled Persons, except on Route Deviation and Shuttle services.
    All regionals offer children under 5 free fare on regular service when accompanied by a fare-paying adult.

[^6]:    *Half Fare for Seniors and Disabled Persons.
    **Half Fare for Seniors and Disabled Persons, except on Route Deviation service.

